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#### Abstract

3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (bispidine) based nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) ligands have been synthesized and evaluated for nAChRs interaction. Diverse spacer motifs were incorporated between the hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) part and a variety of substituted (hetero)aryl moieties. Bispidine carboxamides bearing spacer motifs often showed high affinity in the low nanomolar range and selectivity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR. Compounds $\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{2 5}$, and $\mathbf{4 7}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of about 1 nM displayed the highest affinities for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR. All evaluated compounds are partial agonists or antagonists at $\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}$, with reduced or no effects on $\alpha 3 \beta 4^{*}$ with the exception of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ (agonist), and reduced or no effect at a7 and muscle subtypes.
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## 1. Introduction

(Di)azabicyclic templates dominate compound libraries for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). ${ }^{1}$ NAChRs are pentameric cation channels found in the central and peripheral nervous systems, as well as in non-neuronal cells and serve as interesting targets especially for various brain diseases. ${ }^{2-5}$ These (di)azabicyclic templates display cationic/HB cores important for the interaction with the receptors. An additional pharmacophoric point, a hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) motif, is often introduced as a pyridine moiety and less often as carbonyl functionality or as heteroaryls. ${ }^{6,7}$ The bulkiness of ring structures containing the potentially charged nitrogen and moieties attached to the HBA system influence both affinity and functionality. ${ }^{8}$ The diazabicyclic scaffold 3,7diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (bispidine) originated from the natural product and nAChR ligand cytisine 1 (Fig. 1). It is a privileged scaffold and has been used for the development of nAChR compounds. ${ }^{6,7,8-15}$ In our previous 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane project, we explored the influence of different non-heteroaryl based HBA systems. ${ }^{7}$ We also reported that 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane is active on nAChRs and that some 3,7diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane carboxamides showed selectivity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR. Herein, we extend the previous study by introducing spacer motifs like methylene, ethylene, ethenylene, ethynylene or (hetero)aryls attached to the HBA system to explore the chemical space for nAChRs further (Fig. 1). Diverse substituents were connected to the spacer motifs.

Firstly, we synthesized and tested four cytisine derivatives including the in vivo active 3-(pyridine-3-yl)-cytisine (3PC) 5 displaying at least one rotatable bond and compared them with their analogously substituted 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane carboxamides to get insight into the influence of a spacer motif. ${ }^{16-18}$ We have recently shown that 3-(pyridine-3-yl)-cytisine (3PC) $\mathbf{5}$ serves as an interesting lead for the development of antidepressants. ${ }^{16-18}$ Now, we want to "open" the "chemical space" of cytisine to have the possibility to obtain compounds with better subtype selectivity pattern than cytisine derivatives along with a much simpler synthetic approach. Secondly, we prepared and tested a series of carboxamides bearing different spacer motifs providing additional possible interaction points with nAChRs and their consequences on affinity and functionality for further profiling projects.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

Like previously described by us, (-)-cytisine was isolated from seeds and pods of Laburnum anagyroides. ${ }^{16,17}$ The dried and milled plant material was extracted with PE to remove lipids. Then (-)-cytisine was extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}(90: 10: 5)$ for 8 hours. After filtration and concentration of this solution, it was extracted with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N})$ and, subsequently, rendered alkaline, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ until completion. The alkaloid 1
was finally purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and MeOH (6:1) or by preparative HPLC using a $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{RP}$ stationary phase and a $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient.

The N -tboc protection group was introduced by adding di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to a solution of (-)-cytisine 1 and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solution was heated to reflux for 2 h and N - $t$ boc-cytisine 2 was obtained in good yields after extraction and recrystallization in PE. Alternatively, N - $t$ boc-cytisine 2 was synthesized by adding portions of $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until the (-)-cytisine spot $\mathbf{1}$ has disappeared on TLC. After extracting the product $\mathbf{2}$ it was purified by preparative HPLC using a $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{RP}$ column and a $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient.

The reaction of N -tboc-cytisine 2 with N -bromosuccinimide (NBS) for 2 h under reflux in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded a mixture of isomeric bromo- N - $t$ boc-cytisine derivatives bearing the bromo substituents in the 3 or the 5 position of the pyridone moiety. These isomers could be separated by preparative HPLC using a $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{RP}$ column and a $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient. Only 3-bromo-N-tboc-cytisine $\mathbf{3}$ was used for this project.

The cytisine derivatives 4-7 were synthesized in a two-step process incorporating a crosscoupling reaction and the N -tboc-deprotection step. The coupling of aromatic or heteroaromatic moieties to the cytisine backbone was achieved by using the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction in a microwave synthesizer. The palladium catalyst $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ was added to a solution of 3-bromo-N-tboc-cytisine 3, the appropriate boronic acid and an inorganic base in a mixture of DME or DMF and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Microwave irradiation ( 30 W ) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used for 30 to 90 minutes to synthesize the according N -tboc protected cytisine derivatives Boc-4 -Boc-7. These products were purified on preparative HPLC using a $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ - RP column and a $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient.

The N - $t$ boc protection group of Boc-4 - Boc-7 was directly removed by using microwave irradiation $(150 \mathrm{~W})$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes in pure $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solvent of the final cytisine products 4-7 was removed by lyophilisation for at least 24 h .

The 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (bispidine) scaffold was synthesized using the method described previously, where N -benzyl- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-tboc-bispidinone $\mathbf{9}$ was obtained by a double Mannich reaction from commercially available tert-butyl 4-oxopiperidine carboxylate $\mathbf{8}$, benzylamine, and paraformaldehyde and reduced to the key intermediate N -benzyl $-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-t$ bocbispidine 10. ${ }^{7,13}$ The cleavage of the N -benzyl protecting group from N -benzyl- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-tbocbispidine 10 was achieved by using palladium on activated charcoal ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) $5 \%$ under a hydrogen atmosphere. The resulting N -tboc-bispidine $\mathbf{1 2}$ was now used as the starting material for all carboxamides described.

In general, all N-tboc protected intermediates Boc-13 - Boc-55 were purified by flash chromatography on silica gel and analyzed by LC-MS. When the purity was above 90-95 \% these intermediates were N - $t \mathrm{boc}$ deprotected without further analysis. The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ deprotected final compounds $\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{- 5 5}$ were purified by flash chromatography and have been analyzed in more details.

Using the method previously described, intermediates Boc-13 - Boc-28 were synthesized by using carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) as a coupling reagent or via carboxylic acid chlorides/ aminolysis or via DCC ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide) coupling reaction. ${ }^{7,13}$

After removal of the $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protecting group final compounds were often obtained as oils. For purification purposes and to improve stability, compounds $\mathbf{1 3 - 5 5}$ were transferred into their corresponding fumaric acid salts $\mathbf{1 3 F}-55 F$. Products $\mathbf{1 3 F}-55 F$ have been obtained in excellent purity (mostly > $99 \%$ ).

### 2.2. Biological activity and SAR

Radioligand binding assays as previously described were performed to determine the affinities ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values) of cytisine $\mathbf{1}$ (standard ligand and starting material), cytisine derivatives 4-7 (Table 1) and the bispidine derivatives 13-55 (Table 2). ${ }^{19-21}$

Cytisine derivatives in comparison with their analogously substituted 3,7diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides-In the past, we and several other research groups studied structure-activity relationships (SAR) around the intact cytisine template to gain insights into nAChR affinity and functional selectivity. ${ }^{1,16,17,22}$

3-Phenyl-cytisine 4 exhibited an affinity for the a $4 \beta 2$ * subtype ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=128 \mathrm{nM}$ ) what is about 1000 times lower than cytisine 1, but with enhanced subtype selectivity. The introduction of a heteroaryl substitutent provided compounds with high affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *\left(5(3 P C)\right.$ : $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $\left.0.91 \mathrm{nM} ; 6: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=3.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The pyridyl ring can function as an additional HBA system enhancing the affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ when comparing with ligand 4 , but decreasing the subtype selectivity for e.g. a3ß4 (5 (3PC): $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=119 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{6}$ : $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=436 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Compound 7, bearing an additional 3,4-methylendioxy substituent at the phenyl ring, displayed similar affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *\left(K_{i}=110 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ like 3-phenyl-cytisine $4\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=128 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ along with high subtype selectivity.

Compounds 30, 31, 36, and $\mathbf{3 7}$ (Table 2) can be directly compared to cytisine derivatives $\mathbf{4}$ 7. They can be seen as simplified and more flexible cytisine analogs, but lacking the pyridone ring system of $\mathbf{1}$ (table 1 ). Compounds $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 7}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=57.6\right.$ and 13.2 nM , respectively) showed higher affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR than their more rigid cytisine analogs 4 and $7\left(K_{i}=128\right.$ and 110 nM , respectively). In contrast, cytisine derivatives 5 and $6\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.91\right.$ and 3.9 nM$)$ bearing a pyridine substituent displayed slightly higher affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}$ nAChR than their more flexible bispidine analogs 31 and $\mathbf{3 6}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=11.2\right.$ and 14.4 nM , respectively). 3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides can therefore provide easier synthetically accessible nAChR ligands with high $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ affinity and enhanced subtype selectivity.

## 3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides with methylene spacer-

 The introduction of the methylene spacer into compound $29\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=454 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ for $\left.\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}\right)$ increased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ * subtype by about 8 -fold $\left(\mathbf{3 0} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=58 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Compound 30 showed also high subtype selectivity. The perfluorinated compound 34 failed to interact with nAChRs tested. Other compounds bearing a methylene spacer (compounds 13, 31 and $\mathbf{3 5}-\mathbf{3 8}$ ) between the amide bond and the (hetero)aryl substituents also exhibited highaffinity in the low nanomolar range for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR. Compound 35 substituted with a methylsulfonyl moiety in the para position of the phenyl ring showed a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 22.9 nM for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype, and no affinity for e.g. a3ß $3 *$ nAChR. The 3-methyl-5-isoxazolyl derivative $13\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=46.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ bearing a substituent which can be considered as a bioisosteric replacement of the phenyl ring displayed similar $K_{i}$ values for $\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}$. For compounds 31 (3pyridyl), $\mathbf{3 6}$ (4-pyridyl) and $\mathbf{3 7}$ (3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl) $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 11.2 nM (31), 14.4 $\mathrm{nM}(\mathbf{3 6})$ and $13.2 \mathrm{nM}(\mathbf{3 7})$, respectively, were obtained. These moieties have additional hydrogen bond acceptors which might be beneficial for increasing the affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR further. Compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ with the bulkier 2-naphthyl moiety exhibited a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value in the low nanomolar range $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=10.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for this receptor subtype. These results indicate that the introduction of a methylene spacer is tolerated by the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype and can increase the affinity for this subtype.

## 3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides with an ethylene, oxymethylene, or cyclopropyl spacer-The homolog ethylene spacer in compound $\mathbf{3 2}$

 did not change the affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=52.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ comparing with the methylene spacer compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ (Table 2). Compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ also displayed an affinity for the $\alpha 3 \beta 4^{*}$ subtype ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=395.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but no affinity for the $\alpha 7 * \mathrm{nAChR}$. The introduction of a 3-pyridyl ring (compound 39) increased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}$ subtype only slightly ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=39 \mathrm{nM}$ ), whereas the introduction of three methoxy groups in the meta- and the para positions (compound 14) resulted in a drop of affinity for this receptor subtype ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=232.6 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Compound 33, bearing a 2-chloro aryl moiety and an oxymethylene spacer displayed lower affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=548 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ compared to compound 32. This shows, that either a small electron withdrawing group in the ortho position of the aromatic ring or a heteroatom in the spacer motif can decrease affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR. In contrast, compound 15, bearing a cyclopropyl ring with a trans substitution pattern as a spacer motif displayed high affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 * n A C h R ~\left(K_{i}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, but also for the $\alpha 3 \beta 4 *$ subtype $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=81.1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The rigidity of the cyclopropyl spacer could be beneficial for high affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR subtype, but different trans and cis arrangements needs to be explored in the future to evaluate the possibility to reduce $\alpha 3 \beta 4^{*}$ interaction and maintain $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ activity.
## 3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides with a ethenylene spacer

-Bispidine derivative 16, with a cinnamic acid coupled to the bispidine backbone, showed affinity in the nanomolar range ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=55.1 \mathrm{nM}$ ) for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 * \mathrm{nAChR}$, but lacked affinity for any of the other nAChR subtypes tested. This spacer motif exhibited more rigidity compared to its more flexible ethylene spacer (compound 32). The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of both compounds ( $\mathbf{3 2}$ vs. 16) are almost identical ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=55.1 \mathrm{vs} .52 .5 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively). The introduction of an electron donating methoxy substituent into the ortho position of the phenyl ring (compound 17) showed lower affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype ( $K_{i}=171.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ) compared to its unsubstituted cinnamic acid derivative 16. However, when small electron withdrawing groups were introduced in the meta (chloro: 18, bromo: 19, nitro: 20) or para position (fluoro: 40), compounds with higher affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype ( $\mathbf{1 8}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=23.7 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 9}$ : $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=24.6 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{2 0}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=38.9 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{4 0}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=20.7 \mathrm{nM}$ ) were obtained. Also, compound $\mathbf{2 1}$ with an electron donating methoxy group in the para position displayed a slightly higher affinity
$\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=39.6 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype compared to compound 16. So, small electron


#### Abstract

withdrawing groups in the meta or para position increase the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype


 and it seems that the position of the small electron withdrawing or donating substituent might be more important than its electronic properties.The affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}$ nAChR subtype was decreased when the phenyl ring of compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ was replaced by smaller five-membered, aromatic rings systems, such as furanyl (compound 22) or thiophenyl (compounds 23 and 24). $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were 287.5 nM for the 2-furanyl (22), 96.9 nM for the 2-thiophenyl (23), and 57.1 nM for the 3-thiophenyl derivative (24). When the phenyl ring of compound 16 was replaced by a 3-pyridyl (41) or a 4-pyridyl moiety (25), the affinity increased for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype ( $\mathbf{4 1}$ : $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=32.6 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{2 5}$ : $1.03 \mathrm{nM})$. The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of the 3-pyridyl compound 41 and its less rigid counterpart (compound 39, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=39 \mathrm{nM}$ ) are very similar for $\mathrm{a} 4 \beta 2^{*}$, indicating that both spacer motifs allow similar orientation in the binding pocket. When the simple phenyl moiety of compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ was extended by a fused 3,4-methylendioxy moiety (compound 26), a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 36.9 nM was obtained for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR subtype. The extension to a 1-naphthyl ring system (compound 27), however, decreased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype $\left(K_{i}=\right.$ $296.6 \mathrm{nM})$.

3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides with an ethynylene spacer
—Compound 42 displayed a 5-times higher affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR subtype ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $10.9 \mathrm{nM})$ than its more flexible analogs $\mathbf{3 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$. The introduction of an electron donating methoxy group (compound 43) caused a drop in affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *\left(K_{i}=241.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. A similar observation was made for compound $\mathbf{1 7}$, which means that ortho substitutions are less tolerated by the receptor. Small electron donating or withdrawing groups at meta and/or para positions (compounds $\mathbf{4 4 - 5 0}$ ) increased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ * subtype (up to about 11 fold). E.g. a methoxy group in the meta or para position increased the affinity slightly (44: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=6.3 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{4 5}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=7.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and a di-substitution (compound 46) resulted in an even higher affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype $\left(K_{i}=2.1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The combination of a 3-chloro/4methoxy substitution (compound 47) resulted in a compound with the highest affinity in this compound library $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.991 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Compound 48, bearing a 4-methyl group displayed the same affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=10.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2^{*}$ nAChR subtype as the unsubstituted compound 42. 3-Fluoro substitution (compound 49) also provided a ligand with high affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ value of 7.1 nM$)$. The affinity of compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ (3,4-methylendioxy substituent; $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $3.13 \mathrm{nM})$ is similar to its ring open 3,4-dimethoxy analog $46\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=2.1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and both compounds possess about a 10 -fold higher affinity than its analog bearing a vinyl spacer (26). A 1-naphthyl moiety (compound 51) decreased affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype $\left(K_{i}=46\right.$ nM ) compared to compound 42. A similar observation has been made with compounds 27 vs. 16. In summary, bispidine compounds bearing an ethynylene spacer moiety along with substitutions in the meta- and/or para position are well tolerated by the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR subtype.

## 3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based carboxamides with an (hetero)aryl

spacer-As previously reported, the biphenyl derivative 28 has a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 39.9 nM for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR , and about $1,100 \mathrm{nM}$ for the $a 3 \beta 4^{*}$ subtype. ${ }^{7}$ In line with the observations for
compounds $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 6}$ vs. $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 9}$ vs. $\mathbf{3 2}$, and $\mathbf{4 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 5}$ vs. 16, the replacement of the phenyl moiety by 3 - or 4-pyridyls increased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR subtype (3-pyridylphenyl derivative 52: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=25 \mathrm{nM}$; 4-pyridyl-phenyl derivative 53: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=7.1 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Additionally, the replacement of the phenyl ring by an imidazolyl ring (compound 54) also increased affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=5.6 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The phenyl group is tolerated as a spacer motif for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChRs. Compound 55, where a 4-pyridyl substituent was connected via a thiazole spacer with the bispidine amide backbone, did not show any affinity for nAChRs. Similar observations have been made on the previous set of bispidine compounds, when heteroatoms in close proximity to the HBA system caused a dramatic drop in affinity. ${ }^{7}$

In summary, various spacer moieties like methylene, ethylene, ethenylene, ethynylene or phenyl, between the HBA motif and (hetero)aryl moieties are tolerated by the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR subtype, even with high affinity and subtype selectivity.

Most compounds showed partial agonism/antagonism in initial characterization assays using previously described electrophysiological experiments with diverse nAChRs expressed in Xenopus oocytes (Figure 2). ${ }^{7,23-25}$ Compounds 35, 15, 46, 47, 50, 52, 53, and 54 produced the strongest antagonistic effects for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ receptors. Compound 35 was further evaluated and recently discovered as an in vivo active, highly selective agent with antidepressive effect in a mouse model. ${ }^{26}$ Compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ was the most potent agonist at $\alpha 3 \beta 4^{*}$ in this compound series. No strong effects were observed for a7 subtype. Compound 46 had some antagonistic effect at the muscle subtype. In general, spacer motifs lead to compounds with partial agonist/antagonist profiles with the strongest effects observed for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChRs. In contrast, the cyclopropyl spacer (trans form) produced compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ with an additional a3ß4* agonistic profile.

### 2.3. Physicochemical properties and drug-likeness

Physicochemical properties and druglikeness parameters ClogP, TPSA, and $\log \mathrm{BB}$ were calculated using ACD/ADME Suite 5.0 (ACD/Labs) software. Most compounds are in the range for CNS druglikeness parameter values $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$ : 250 and 350; ClogP: between 1-3; PSA < 75). Additional parameters important for CNS compounds have been calculated (Table 3; supplementary data) for those compounds showing nanomolar affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *\left(K_{i}<\right.$ $1,000 \mathrm{nM}$ ). ${ }^{27}$ Most active compounds showed "good" values (see Table 3; supplementary data). There were no correlations between affinity and ClogP or TPSA values or rotatable bonds. Most compounds have 2-3 rotatable bonds due to their spacer motif which had an influence on their functionality like stated above. For the likelihood of blood brain barrier ( BBB ) penetration, $\log \mathrm{BB}$ values were calculated can be from $\log \mathrm{P}$ and TPSA values where $\operatorname{logBB}$ values below -0.5 would reflect very poor or no BBB penetration and $>0.7$ very high penetrants. 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane carboxamides bearing the ethenylene or ethynylene spacer could function as Michael acceptors, properties which should be avoided for potential drug candidates for chronic treatment. Results derived from these compounds serve as a basis for further compound profiling projects and therefore an important part in this early SAR study.

## 3. Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized and evaluated a small set of cytisine (4-7) derivatives and a series of 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane carboxamide (13-55) for their affinities at various nAChRs. Selected compounds were screened for agonist and antagonist functionality. Most compounds displayed $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype selectivity regarding their affinities measured.

Cytisine derivatives 4-7 were compared with the four analogously substituted bispidine derivatives 30, 31, 36, and 37. Their affinities for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR were in the same range. It seems that the impact of flexibility/rigidity on $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ affinity is of minor importance. The introduction of an additional hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) motif, e.g. pyridyl groups, increased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ subtype in both sets of derivatives.

The incorporation of spacer moieties like methylene, ethylene, ethenylene, ethynylene or phenyl at the 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane carboxamide template increased the affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR. Heteroaryl substituents with HBA functionality increased the affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 *$ nAChR further.

Compounds $\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{2 5}$, and 47 with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of about 1 nM showed the highest affinities for a4ß2* nAChR.

From the electrophysiology point of view, all evaluated compounds displayed partial agonism or antagonism at $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ *, reduced or no effects on $\alpha 3 \beta 4^{*}$ with the exception of compound 15 (agonist), and reduced or nor effect at $\alpha 7$ and muscle type.

## 4. Experimental section

All reagents and solvents were obtained from various suppliers (ABCR, Acros, Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Fluka, Merck or Sigma) and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Dichloromethane was freshly distilled from calcium hydride. Methanol was treated with sodium, distilled afterwards and stored under nitrogen. Sodium wires were used to dry diethyl ether, petroleum ether, tetrahydrofuran, and toluene. Water was taken from a water purification system PureLab Plus UV (ELGA Labwater) or Direct-Q ${ }^{\text {TM }} 5$ (Millipore). Amines were purified prior to use with a Kugelrohr distillation apparatus (Büchi). Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using aluminum sheets coated with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Compounds were visualized using UV light ( 254 or 365 nm ) and using a $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(1 \%)$. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel ( $0.035-0.060$ nm ) using different mixtures of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1,20: 1$ or $9: 1$ ) or of PE with EtOAc ( $4: 1$ or $3: 1$ ) as mobile phases. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 400 or 500 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra ( 100 or 125 MHz ) were recorded on an Avance 400 or on an Avance 500 NMR spectrometer (Bruker). All NMR spectra were recorded at rt . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to the remaining protons of the deuterated solvents used as internal standard. Coupling constants $J$ are given in Hertz (Hz) and spin multiplicities are given as $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), dd (doublet of doublets), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), $m$ (multiplet) and br (broad). Mass spectra were recorded on an API 2000 mass spectrometer with an electron spray ionization source (Applied Biosystems) coupled to an Agilent 1100 HPLC system (LC/ESI-MS) or on a Varian 500-MS mass spectrometer (ESI-MS). The purity of the
compounds was determined by LC/ESI-MS or a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC system at an appropriate wavelength. HRMS runs were performed on Agilent Technologies 6530 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS. All compounds proved to possess $\geq 95 \%$ purity. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes with a melting point apparatus (WeissGallenkamp) or with a Melting Point B-540 (Büchi) and are uncorrected. Infrared spectroscopy was performed with a Tensor-27 FTIR infrared spectrometer (Bruker Optic) using KBr pellet or with a Nicolet iS10 (Thermo Scientific). Elemental microanalyses (C, H, N) were performed with a VarioEL apparatus (Elementar Analysensysteme) or a Costech elemental combustion apparatus and the determined values are generally within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. Hydrogen for hydrogenations was produced by a Hogen GC hydrogen generator (Proton Energy Systems) or by a 60 H hydrogen generator (Parker, domnick hunter). Lyophilizations were performed with an Alpha 1-4 LSC freeze dryer (Martin Christ).

### 4.1. General procedure A: Suzuki cross-coupling reaction with 3-bromo-N-tboc-cytisine

3-Bromo-N-tboc-cytisine ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the appropriate boronic acid ( 0.41 mmol ), a base ( 0.6 mmol ), DME ( 3 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added into a 10 mL microwave glass tube. The solution was washed with argon for 10 min . After the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the reaction vessel was sealed with a septum and placed into the microwave cavity. Microwave irradiation of 30 W was used and the temperature ramped from rt to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was reached the reaction mixture was held for 30 to 90 min . before the mixture was allowed to cool to rt . The reaction vessel was opened and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The brown residue was extracted on a $\mathrm{C}-18 \mathrm{SPE}$ column eluting with a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 70: 30$ or $60: 40 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ and the aqueous solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, subsequently. The residue was purified on a preparative HPLC system using RP C-18 silica gel and appropriate $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradients. The chromatograms were scanned at 254 nm and the appropriate fractions were collected. The fractions containing the desired products were concentrated under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator.

### 4.2. General procedure B: Cleavage of the N -tboc protecting group from N -tboc protected cytisine derivatives

The concentrated aqueous solution of the N -tboc protected cytisine derivative (approx. 70 mL ) was put into a 80 mL microwave glass tube, sealed and placed into the microwave cavity. Microwave irradiation of 150 W was used and the temperature ramped from rt to 150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was reached the reaction mixture was held for 30 min . before the mixture was allowed to cool to rt. The reaction vessel was opened and the solvent was evaporated by lyophilization for at least 24 h .

### 4.3. Isolation of (1R,5S)-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methano-8H-pyrido[1,2-a][1,5]diazocin-8one [(-)-Cytisine] (1)

Seeds and pods of Laburnum anagyroides watereri were collected in the Cologne-Bonn area (Germany) in the months September and October. The plant material was air-dried at least for 3 months and ground to a powder consistence. The plant material was extracted with
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (10:4:1) through homogenization by Ultra-turrax for 8 hours. The
evaporated solvents were replaced, exactly the same amounts of each solvent were added to the homogenate during the extraction. The homogenate was centrifuged ( $2,000 \mathrm{x} \min , 40$ $\mathrm{min})$ and the supernatant collected. The dark green solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to the final volume of 500 ml and extracted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{ml})$. The aqueous acid solution was rendered alkaline with $26 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{pH} 11-12)$ and the free base extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \times 100 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic layers were collected and the solvent evaporated in vacuo. The dark green/brownish residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel column with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(6: 1)$. The alkaloid $\mathbf{1}$ was recrystallized from perchloroethylene or directly used in the next step (synthesis of N-tboccytisine 2). Isolated yields ranged from 0.11 to $0.48 \%$ of 1 calculated from the dry weight; $\mathrm{mp} 155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 25.6,27.0,34.9,49.1,52.3,53.3,104.2,115.7,138.1,150.7,162.8$ LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=190.9\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.9 \%)$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3316,3282,3084$, $3032,1650,1541,1443,1141,821,737$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.4. 8-oxo-1,5,6,8-tetrahydro-2H,4H-1,5-methano-pyrido[1,2-a][1,5]diazocine-3-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester [N-tboc-cytisine] (2)

Cytisine 1 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $688 \mathrm{mg}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were stirred in $25 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $6 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt and 10 ml of concentrated NaCl solution was added. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated. Product 2 was recrystallized from PE and obtained as an off-white crystalline powder (590-690 mg, 77-90 \%); mp 149-150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.30$ (s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.19(\mathrm{~m}$ olv, 2H), $4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.1,27.5,28.0$, $34.8,48.9,50.5,51.6,80.3,105.8,117.1,138.9,148.7,154.5,163.4$. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=291.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.9 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3217,3099,1687,1654$, 1465, 1445, 1421, 1364, 818, 760, 572. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.5. 9-bromo-8-oxo-1,5,6,8-tetrahydro-2H,4H-1,5-methano-pyrido[1,2-a][1,5]diazocine-3carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester [3-Bromo-N-tboc-cytisine] (3)

N -tboc-cytisine $2(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and N -bromosuccinimide ( $613 \mathrm{mg}, 3.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were stirred in $30 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The oily residue was dissolved in 150 ml $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60: 40)$ and the two isomers were separated and purified by preparative HPLC. Product $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained in $38-52 \%$ yield as a white crystalline powder; $m p 131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{t}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-3.06$ (m, 3H), 3.85 (dd, $J=15.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.35(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{ovl}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.96 (br s, 1H), $7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.0,27.4,28.0$, 34.7, 49.2, 50.2, 51.4, 80.6, 105.7, 112.5, 140.8, 148.5, 154.4, 159.4. LC/ESI-MS: positive
mode $m / z=369.0$ and $371.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity (> 99.9 \%). IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 3092$, 2974,

### 4.6. 9-phenyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methano-pyridino[1,2-a]diazocin-8-one [3-Phenylcytisine] (4)

The Suzuki reaction was performed according to general procedure A with 3-bromo-N-tboccytisine 3 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylboronic acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(64 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$, DME and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction time was 30 min . For the SPE purification a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 70: 30 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was used. After the HPLC purification, general procedure B was used for the N -tboc deprotection. Final product 4 was obtained as a white solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). mp $139.8-140.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.96$ (br s, 2H), 2.34 (br s, 1 H ), 2.91 (br s, 1 H ), 3.02 (br d, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.07 $(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $7.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.3,27.9,35.7,50.2,53.0,54.0,105.0,127.2,127.4$, 128.0, 128.6, 137.0, 137.4, 150.3, 162.1. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 266.1419$, found 266.1426 .

### 4.7. 9-pyridin-3-yl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methano-pyridino[1,2-a]diazocin-8-one [3-(Pyridin-3'-yl)cytisine] (5)

The Suzuki reaction was performed according to general procedure A with 3-bromo-N-tboccytisine 3 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-pyridineboronic acid ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 126 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$, DME and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction time was 60 min . For the SPE purification a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 60: 40 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was used. After the HPLC purification, general procedure B was used for the N -tboc deprotection. Final product 5 was obtained as a yellow solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). mp 79.8-81. ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.96$ (br s, 2H), 2.36 (br s, 1H), 2.95 (br s, 1H), 2.99-3.03 (m, 2H), 3.06 (dd, $J=12.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (ddd, $J=7.9,4.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ (ddd, $J=7.9,2.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.2,27.8,35.7,50.3,53.0,53.9,105.0,122.8$, $123.9,133.2,136.1,137.2,148.2,149.1,151.5,161.9$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ 267.1371, found 267.1376.

### 4.8. 9-pyridin-4-yl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methano-pyridino[1,2-a]diazocin-8-one) [3-(Pyridin-4'-yl)cytisine] (6)

The Suzuki reaction was performed according to general procedure A with 3-bromo-N-tboccytisine $\mathbf{3}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-pyridineboronic acid ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 126 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$, DME and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction time was 90 min . For the SPE purification a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 60: 40 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was used. After the HPLC purification, general procedure B was used for the N -tboc deprotection. Final product 6 was obtained as a yellow solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ). mp n.d. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.3,2.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.2,27.8,35.8,50.3,53.0,53.9,104.9,122.8,123.9,137.8$, 144.9, 149.6, 152.6, 161.5. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} 267.1371$, found 267.1379.

### 4.9. 9-(benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-1,5-methano-pyridino[1,2-a]diazocin-8one) [3-( $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Methylenedioxyphenyl)cytisine] (7)

The Suzuki reaction was performed according to general procedure A with 3-bromo-N-tboccytisine $\mathbf{3}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,4-methylenedioxyphenylboronic acid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{DME}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction time was 30 min . For the SPE purification a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 70: 30 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}(100$ mL ) was used. After the HPLC purification, general procedure B was used for the N-tboc deprotection. Final product 7 was obtained as an off-white solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ). mp 259.1$261.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (dd, $J=15.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.4,27.9,35.7,50.2,53.0,54.0,100.9$, 104.9, 108.0, 109.4, 122.1, 127.1, 131.4, 136.4, 146.8, 147.3, 149.9, 162.1. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 310.1317$, found 310.1324 .
4.10. General procedure $\mathbf{C}$ : Synthesis of N -tboc protected bispidinecarboxamides

Methyl iodide ( $570 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 2}(320 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry MeCN $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure after 24 h , the residue was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (101 $\mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the appropriate carboxylic acid ( 1 mmol ) were added. The solution was allowed to stir at rt for $12-120 \mathrm{~h}$ before the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, mixtures of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}-40: 1,20: 1$ or $9: 1$ ).

### 4.11. General procedure $D$ : Synthesis of $N$-tboc protected bispidinecarboxamides

The appropriate carboxyl chloride ( 1 mmol ), either neat or dissolved in dry toluene (1-2 mL ), was added dropwise to a stirred solution of N - $t$ boc-bispidine $\mathbf{1 1}(230 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(101 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure after 2 h and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, mixtures of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}-40: 1,20: 1$ or $9: 1$ ).

### 4.12. General procedure E: Synthesis of N -tboc protected bispidinecarboxamides

The appropriate carboxylic acid ( 1 mmol ) and N -tboc-bispidine $11(248 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DMAP ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DCC ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was allowed to warm up and stir at rt . The precipitate was filtered off after 12 h and washed with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ The solvent of the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, mixtures of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}-40: 1.20: 1$ or 9:1).

### 4.13. General procedure F : Cleavage of the N -tboc protecting group from N -tboc protected bispidine derivatives

HCl in 1,4-dioxane ( $4 \mathrm{M}, 4-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of the N - $t$ boc protected bispidine derivative ( $0.2-1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in $4-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1,4-dioxane, and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt for $2-12 \mathrm{~h}$. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and before the residue was dissolved in KOH solution $(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and extracted with of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3-5 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ), water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The product was obtained after evaporating the solvent under reduced pressure.

### 4.14. General procedure G: Cleavage of the N-tboc protecting group from N-tboc protected bispidine derivatives

The N - $t$ boc protected bispidine derivative ( $0.2-1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ), anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ (2-3 equiv.) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stir at rt for $12-120 \mathrm{~h}$. After the removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure the residue was dissolved in KOH solution $\left(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ), water ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The product was obtained after evaporating the solvent under reduced pressure.

### 4.15. General procedure $H$. Formation of fumaric acid salts of bispidine derivatives

The amine ( $0.2-1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1,2-5 \mathrm{~mL})$. A saturated solution of fumaric acid in the same mixture of solvents was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the amine until no further precipitation was observed. The solution was kept at $4-8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight before the precipitate was filtered off and washed with the same mixture of solvents $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was dissolved in water (2030 mL ), and freeze-dried.

### 4.16. General procedure I. Formation of fumaric acid salts of bispidine derivatives

The amine ( $0.2-1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in isopropanol ( $3-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), filtered, and heated to $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The same molar amount of fumaric acid was dissolved in isopropanol ( 3 mL ) and also heated to $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The two solutions were combinedf and allowed to cool to rt. Dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the mixture was kept at $4-8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solid was filtered off, washed with dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dissolved in water $(20-30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and freezedried.

### 4.17. (1R,5S)-tert-butyl 7-benzyl-9-oxo-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-3-carboxylate (9)

Compound 9 was obtained following the synthetic procedures published by Stead et al. $\{\{2$ Stead,D. 2005; \} \} A solution of tert-butyl 4-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate 8 ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 50.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid ( $2.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $5.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of paraformaldehyde ( $3.32 \mathrm{~g}, 110.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h before another portion of paraformaldehyde ( $3.32 \mathrm{~g}, 110.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. This mixture was heated at reflux for additional 5 h before the reaction was allowed to cool to rt and the solvent was evaporated
under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with
aqueous KOH solution ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (silica gel, PE:EtOAc 3:1) of the residue afforded a white solid 9 ( $13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) after extensive evaporation of the solvents; $\mathrm{mp} 83^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ (br d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (br d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24-$ $7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.7,47.7,50.0,50.6,58.8,59.1,62.0,80.2$, 127.4, 128.5, 128.9, 137.5, 154.9, 213.6. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=331.3([\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Purity (> $98.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1731, 1695. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.18. (1R,5S)-tert-butyl 7-benzyl-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-3-carboxylate (10)

A solution of 9 ( $18.9 \mathrm{~g}, 57.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 250 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and hydrazine hydrate ( 80 $\%, 10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 150 mL of diethylene glycol was heated at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under reflux conditions. After 2 h the reflux condenser was exchanged for a Dean-Stark apparatus and the mixture was heated at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 8 h . After cooling to rt 250 mL of water were added and the resulting mixture was extracted with toluene ( $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated solution ( $1 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), water ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, PE:EtOAc 4:1) to afford $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a white solid ( $13.2 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ); mp $66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J$ $=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $13.1,3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.1,3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.9,29.2,31.3,47.7,48.6,58.9,59.2,63.7,78.9$, 126.8, 128.2, 128.7, 139.1, 155.2. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=317.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity (> $99.5 \%)$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 1681. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.19. (1R,5S)-tert-butyl 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-3-carboxylate fumaric acid salt (11F)

200 mg of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \%)$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 0}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was allowed to react under an atmosphere of hydrogen ( 10 psi ) at rt for 4 h . After the mixture was filtered and washed thoroughly with MeOH the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as a clear oil ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 4.64$ mmol ) in $98 \%$ yield. The free amine $\mathbf{1 0}$ was used for further syntheses. General procedure H was used to transfer this clear oil $\mathbf{1 0}(161 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ into a white solid, its fumaric acid salt 10F (200 mg, $82 \%$ ); mp $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.86 (br d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.96 (br d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (br d, $J=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.48 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05 (br d, $J=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 28.2,30.3,30.5,50.7,50.9,85.3$, 137.6, 161.0, 174.4. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=226.9\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity (> $\left.99.9 \%\right)$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3438, 1691, 1657, 985. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.20. (1R,5S)-tert-butyl 7-(1H-imidazole-1-carbonyl)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3carboxylate (12)

N - $t$ Boc-bispidine 11 ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ) and CDI ( 1.18 g , 7.29 mmol ) was added. The solution was refluxed for 2 h before the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}-20: 1$ ). Evaporation of the solvents afforded a white solid $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ); mp $143^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43$ (s, 9H), $1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 27.8,28.5,31.2,47.4,48.8,50.2,51.8,80.3,118.0,129.4,137.0,151.8,155.0$. LC/ESIMS: positive mode $m / z=321.1\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$, negative mode $m / z=319.9\left([M-H]^{-}\right)$. Purity (> $99.8 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 1675. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.21. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (13F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with 3-methyl-5-isoxazoleacetic acid ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 48 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a yellowish oil ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this oil ( 180 mg , 0.52 mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( $348 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ for 72 h and an off-white solid $13(126 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 3 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{1 3 F}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $46 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $168-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.96$ (br m, 1H), 2.02 (br m, 1H), 2.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.35 (br s, 2H), 3.13 (br d, $J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{brd}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 13.3,28.0,28.4,30.1,35.3$, 49.1, 50.2, 50.5, 52.4, 108.1, 137.6, 164.7, 168.7, 174.4, 174.5. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=250.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.5 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3474,3139,1704,1657,970$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.22. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)propan-1-one fumaric acid salt (14F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with 3 -(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)propionic acid ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 48 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $375 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t \mathrm{boc}$ protection group of this oil ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and an off-white solid 14 ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid 14 ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 4 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{1 4 F}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in 56 $\%$ yield over three steps; mp $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.83$ (br d, $J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.84-3.01 (br m, 5H), 3.29 (br m, 2H), 3.42 (br m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 4.01 (br
d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.0,28.4,30.2,34.1,37.9,48.8,50.1,50.5,52.2,58.9,63.8,108.9$, 137.5, 138.1, 140.7, 155.3, 174.4, 180.0. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=349.1([M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Purity (> $\left.99.9 \%\right)$. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3440, 1707, 1641, 973. Anal.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.23. (1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl((1R,2R)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanone fumaric acid salt (15F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 48 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $326 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this oil ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and a clear oil 15 $(177 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil $15(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 5 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 15F ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $24 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ (rotamers present) 1.41-1.73 (br m, 2H), 1.90-2.03 (br m, 2H), 2.23-2.60 (br m, 4H), 3.11 (br d, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.28-3.58 (br m, 5H), 4.26-4.43 (br m, 2H), 6.67 (s, 1.6H), 7.19-7.45 (m, 5H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta$ (rotamers present) $17.6,27.3,28.1,28.4,28.6,30.3,49.5,50.3$, $50.6,52.3,128.9,129.6,131.7,137.6,143.3,174.5,179.2$. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z$ $=271.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.7 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3433,3028,1705,1637,1459,983$, 972. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.8 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.24. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (16F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-phenylacrylic acid ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 48 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( $303 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ for 72 h and a white solid $16(150 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 16 ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 6 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{1 6 F}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $23 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $155-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.02$ (br m, 2H), 2.38 (br s, 2 H ), 3.20 (br d, $J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32-3.42 (br m, 2H), 3.46-3.59 (br m, 3H), 4.38 (br d, $J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ (br d, $J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.3,50.6,52.4,120.5,130.9$, 132.0, 133.3, 137.5, 137.6, 146.5, 173.9, 174.5. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=257.4$ ([M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Purity (> $\left.99.8 \%\right)$. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3433, 3059, 1650, 1616, 1451, 975. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.9 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

# 4.25. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (17F) 

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)acrylic acid ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $335 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this oil ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( $481 \mathrm{mg}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 48 h and a white solid $\mathbf{1 7}(192 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 17 ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 7 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 17F (149 $\mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $59 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $141-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (br d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31-3.40 (br m, 2H), 3.47-3.58 (br m, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 4.33 (br d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.3$, 50.6, 52.4, 58.7, 115.0, 120.9, 124.1, 126.2, 131.5, 134.8, 137.5, 141.5, 160.6, 174.1, 174.4. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=287.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.8 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 3432, 3044, 1705, 1645, 1462, 976. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.26. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (18F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(3-chlorophenyl)acrylic acid ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $287 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this solid ( 225 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( 389 mg , $1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 48 h and a white solid $18(160 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 8 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{1 8 F}$ ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $43 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $141-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.36 (br m, 2H), 3.52 (br m, 3H), 4.33 (br d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (br d, $J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1.58 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.2$, 50.5, 52.4, 121.7, 129.3, 130.4, 132.8, 133.3, 137.1, 137.6, 139.4, 144.9, 173.4, 174.4. LC/ ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=291.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.8 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3427$, $3058,1702,1649,1475,971$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.79 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.27. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3-bromophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (19F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(3-bromophenyl)acrylic acid ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a
white solid ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( 285 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( 442 mg , $1.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 48 h and a white solid $\mathbf{1 9}(206 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 19 ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{1 9 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 19F ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $40 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $142-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.41$ (br m, 2H), 3.45-3.58 (br m, 3H), 4.31 (br d, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46$ (br d, $J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.2,50.5,52.4$, $121.7,125.2,129.7,133.3,133.5,135.7,137.5,139.6,144.8,173.4,174.5 . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ $3423,3054,1703,1649,1474,971$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.85 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.28. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3-nitrophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (20F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(3-nitrophenyl)acrylic acid (193 mg, 1 mmol ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a yellow solid ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this solid ( 230 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( 387 mg , $1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 48 h and a yellow solid $\mathbf{2 0}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 20 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 20F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 20F ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) was obtained as a yellow solid in $41 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $184-187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.32-3.43$ (br m, 2H), 3.47-3.62 (br m, 3H), 4.38 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50$ (br d, $J=$ $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,2.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, D 2 O ) $\delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.2,50.5,52.4,123.2,125.3$, 127.4, 133.0, 137.2, 137.6, 139.1, 143.8, 151.1, 173.1, 174.5. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=302.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.8 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3423,3082,1702,1652,1529$, 1443, 983, 974. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} * 0.85 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.29. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (21F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylic acid ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protection group of this solid ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(418 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 24 h and a white solid $21(175 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 21 ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 21F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 21F (194 $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $48 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $169-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
(dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.00$ (br m, 2H), 2.36 (br s, 2H), 3.12-3.19 (br m, 1H), $3.31-3.40$ (br m, 2H), 3.45-3.58 (br m, 3H), 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.35 (br d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.47 (br d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.8,3.0,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.7,2.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.3,50.6,52.3,58.3,117.4,117.9,130.5,132.8,137.6$, $146.3,163.6,174.0,174.5$. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=287.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>$ $99.8 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3427, 3032, 1737, 1650, 1604, 979. Anal.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.30. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(furan-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (22F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(furan-2-yl)acrylic acid ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 72 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents an orange solid ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - tboc protection group of this solid ( 175 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(341 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 12 h and an orange oil $22(84 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil 22 ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 22F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 22F ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) was obtained as an orange solid in $18 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $142-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ~ \delta 27.7,29.5,49.0,113.7,115.8,116.5,131.4,136.5,146.3,153.2$, 171.1, 171.7. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=247.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99 \%)$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3426,3095,1679,1648,1608,984$, 968. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H,N.

### 4.31. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (23F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(thiophen-2-yl)acrylic acid ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this oil ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(317 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ for 48 h and a clear oil $\mathbf{2 3}(122 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil 23 ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 23 F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{2 3 F}$ ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) was obtained as an off-white solid in $22 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $173-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.87-2.06$ (br m, 2H), 2.33-2.48 (br m, 2H), 3.13-3.24 (br m, 1H), $3.30-3.58$ (br m, 5H), 4.30-4.52 (br m, 2H), 6.67 (s, 2.0H), $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (dd, $J=5.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.3,50.6,52.3,118.6,131.4$, 132.0, 134.5, 137.6, 139.5, 142.4, 173.5, 174.5. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=263.4$ ([M
$+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Purity (> $\left.99.8 \%\right)$. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3441, 3074, 1700, 1638, 1600, 968. Anal.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.32. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(thiophen-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (24F)

The N -tboc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(thiophen-3-yl)acrylic acid ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $312 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( 240 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( 447 mg , $1.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 48 h and a clear oil $24(168 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil 24 ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{2 4 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{2 4 F}(129 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $58 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $155-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.97(\mathrm{brm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.30-3.40 (br m, 2H), 3.45-3.58 (br m, 3H), 4.34 (br d, $J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.47 (br d, $J$ $=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.2,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.3,50.6,52.3,119.6,128.0,130.5,131.8,137.5$, $140.4,140.5,174.1,174.4$. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=263.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>$ $99.8 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3428, 3087, 1705, 1647, 1594, 973. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} * 0.9 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.33. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(pyridin-4-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (25F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(pyridin-4-yl)acrylic acid ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid (185 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(350 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 48 h and an off-white oil $25(116 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil $25(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 25F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 25F ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $43 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $155-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.41(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J$ $=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.1,28.4,30.2,49.4,50.1$, $50.4,52.5,127.3,130.4,138.0,140.4,146.6,152.7,172.2,176.2$ LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=258.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity ( $\left.>99.9 \%\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3427,3038,1699,1653$, 1602, 982. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.34. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (26F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)acrylic acid ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 72 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $284 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and an offwhite solid 26 ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $26(128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43$ mmol ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 26F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 26F ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) was obtained as an offwhite solid in $30 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $147-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.41(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.44-3.58 (br m, 3H), 4.34 (br d, $J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ $28.1,28.5,30.3,49.4,50.3,50.6,52.3,104.6,109.3,111.6,118.0,127.6,131.9,137.5$, 146.5, 150.8, 152.0, 173.9, 174.3. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=301.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity (> $99.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3434, 3054, 1706, 1646, 1607, 1448, 976. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.35. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(naphthalen-1-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (27F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with trans-3-(naphthalene-1-yl)acrylic acid ( $198 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 48 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( 270 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ ( 449 mg , $1.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 72 h and an off-white solid $27(196 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 27 ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{2 7 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{2 7 F}$ ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $50 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $171-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1.9 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.1,28.4,30.2,49.3,50.2,50.5,52.2$, $122.8,126.0,127.9,128.6,129.3,130.0,131.6,133.3,133.8,134.5,136.2,137.5,143.0$, 173.4, 174.3. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=307.5\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.9 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3433,3048,1701,1643,1601,1458,970$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.95 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.36. (1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl(biphenyl-4-yl)methanone fumaric acid salt (28F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with 4biphenylcarboxylic acid ( 198 mg , 1 mmol ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil (293 $\mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this oil ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and a clear oil $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This oil $28(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 28F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 28F ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $34 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 148$150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.99$ (br m, 2H), $2.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ (br s, 1H), $3.30-3.41$ (br m, 3H), 3.42-3.54 (br m, 3H), 3.91 (br m, 1H), 4.53 (br m, 1H), 6.67 (s, $2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 28.0,28.5,30.3,49.4,49.6,50.6,54.8,129.9,130.0,130.5,131.2$, 132.1, 136.2, 137.6, 142.4, 145.5, 174.6, 177.8. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=307.5$ ([M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Purity (> $99.9 \%$ ). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3427, 1705, 1624, 982, 970. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.37. (1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl(phenyl)methanone fumaric acid salt (29F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure D with benzoyl chloride ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 97$ $\%)$ was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and an off white solid 29 ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $29(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 29F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 29F ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $42 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 162-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.99$ (br m, 1H), 2.07 (br m, 1H), 2.27 (br s, 2H), 3.35-3.37 (br m, 2H), 3.37-3.40 (br m, 2H), 3.46 (br d, $J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98 (br $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 27.3$, 29.1, 48.3, 48.5, 128.3, 129.7, 131.2, 136.2, 136.8, 171.3, 175.4. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=231.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99.9 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3420,1701,1613,987,969$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.9 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
4.38. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-phenylethanone fumaric acid salt (30F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure D with phenylacetyl chloride ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( 343 mg , $98 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this solid ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and an off white solid $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $\mathbf{3 0}(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 30F by using the general procedure H. Compound 30F ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $62 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $145-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (br
d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.27-3.33(\mathrm{br} m, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 0.9 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 28.0,28.3,30.2,43.5,49.1$, $50.2,50.6,52.5,130.2,131.9,132.1,137.3,138.0,176.5,178.8$. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=245.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>99 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3443,3031,1696,1640,1457$, 984, 969. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.45 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.39. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(pyridine-3-yl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (31F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 2-(pyridine-3-yl)acetic acid ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $262 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a clear oil 31 ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This oil $31(143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{3 1 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
Compound 31F ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $32 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.97$ (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.03 (br d, $J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.53-3.64(\mathrm{br} m, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1.84 \mathrm{H})$, $7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 25.8,26.2,27.9,37.9,47.0,48.0,48.2,49.8,126.9$, 135.3, 142.1, 144.4, 147.0, 174.2, 174.3. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=246.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity (> $99.9 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2926, 2859, 1699, 1640, 1219, 1106, 970, 639. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.40. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one fumaric acid salt (32F)

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure D with 3phenylpropionic acid chloride ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $355 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and a clear oil $32(235 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil $32(103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 32F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound 32F ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $70 \%$ yield over three steps; $\mathrm{mp} 153-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.86$ (br m, 1H), $1.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ (br s, 1H), 2.29 (br s, 1H), 2.78 (br m, 1H), 2.88 (br m, 1H), 2.90-2.96 (br m, 1H), 2.99 (br d, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{br}$ d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 28.0,28.3,30.1,33.6,37.9,48.8,50.2,50.6,52.2,129.4$, 131.4, 131.7, 137.5, 143.7, 174.4, 180.2. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=259.5([\mathrm{M}+$
$\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Purity (> $\left.99.8 \%\right)$. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3433, 3054, 1701, 1645, 1454, 984. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.41. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(2-chlorophenoxy)ethanone fumaric acid salt (33F)

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure C with (2chlorophenoxy)acetic acid ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this oil ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 12 h and a white solid $33(95 \mathrm{mg}, 98$ $\%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $33(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{3 3 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16$ mmol ). Compound 33F ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $29 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $145-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.02$ (br m, 2H), 2.34 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37$ (br s, 1H), 3.18 (br d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (br m, 2H), 4.00 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38$ (br d, $J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85$ (br d, $J$ $=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 28.0,28.2,30.2,49.0,50.2$, 50.5, 51.2, 70.1, 117.2, 124.7, 125.8, 131.2, 133.3, 137.5, 155.5, 174.2, 176.4. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=295.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Purity $(>97 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3441,3065,1662$, 1457, 970. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} * 0.9 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.42. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (34F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenylacetic acid ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid 34 (149 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $34(145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{3 4 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43$ mmol ). Compound $\mathbf{3 4 F}(149 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $65 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 176-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.78$ (br d, $J=13.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (br m, 2H), 3.26 (br d, $J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.46 (br d, $J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1.80 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 26.5,27.0,28.6,29.5,47.9,48.8,49.1,50.7,136.4,172.5,173.7$. LC/ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=335.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 335.1177$, found 335.1186. Purity (>99 \%). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1669, 1657, 1506, 1410, 1222, 1004, 974, 642. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.43. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (35F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 4(methylsulfonyl)phenylacetic acid ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $296 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this solid ( 246 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a white solid 35 (147 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $35(147 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{3 5 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46$ mmol ). Compound 35F ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $33 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 188-191 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.93$ (br d, $\left.J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 2.01 (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.33 (br s, 2H), 3.11 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.293.39 (br m, 2H), 3.42-3.57 (br m, 3H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 4.16 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.39 (br d, $J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1.90 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 25.8,26.2,27.9,41.0,44.0,46.9,48.0,48.3,50.1,128.0,131.5$, 135.4, 138.1, 142.4, 172.1, 175.5. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=323.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 323.1424$, found 323.1416. Purity (> $99.9 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2930, 2857, 2720, 2626, 1652, 1582, 1253, 1144, 962, 751, 634. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ * $\left.1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 0.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.44. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(pyridine-4-yl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (36F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 2-(pyridine-4-yl)acetic acid ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $276 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a clear oil 36 ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This oil $36(128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{3 6 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
Compound 36F ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $48 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 174-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.97$ (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.03 (br d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.63(\mathrm{br} m, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, ~ J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.32(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , exchangeable), 4.38 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2.80 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 25.8,26.2,27.8,40.8,46.9,48.0$, 48.2, 49.9, 129.5, 135.6, 141.5, 157.0, 173.08, 173.14. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=246.3$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ 246.1601, found 246.1591. Purity (> $99.9 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2971, 2848, 1671, 1568, 1404, 1271, 976, 784, 631, 590. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right.$ * $\left.1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.45. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (37F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 2-benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)acetic acid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and
$\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this solid (207 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a white solid 37 (152 $\mathrm{mg}, 99 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $37(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 37 F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53$ mmol ). Compound $\mathbf{3 7 F}$ ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $23 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $179-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.98 (br d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.26-3.50$ (br m, 5H), 3.81 (m, 2H), 4.15 (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39$ (br d, $J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1.75 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 25.8,26.3,27.9,40.8,46.9,48.0,48.4,50.2,101.8$, 109.3, 110.4, 123.2, 128.7, 135.5, 146.8, 148.1, 172.2, 176.6. ESI-MS: positive mode $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $289.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 289.1547$, found 289.1550. Purity (> $97 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2869, 1698, 1659, 1596, 1443, 1398, 1253, 1213, 1192, 1034, 787. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} * 1.1 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.46. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(naphthalene-2-yl)ethanone fumaric acid salt (38F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 2naphthylacetic acid ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid (366 $\mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid ( $309 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a white solid 38 ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $38(228 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{3 8 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{3 8 F}$ ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $30 \%$ yield over three steps; $\mathrm{mp} 181-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.71$ (br d, $\left.J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.79$ (br d, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-$ 3.34 (br m, 5H), 3.83 (br d, $J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ (br d, $J=$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1.36 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.4,25.7$, $28.1,40.6,45.9,46.7,46.8,49.0,125.4,125.9,127.33,127.34,127.4,127.5,128.3,131.7$, 133.0, 133.6, 135.1, 167.9, 171.6. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=295.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 295.1805$, found 295.1808. Purity (> $98 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2952, 2852, 1656, 1402, 1218, 1104, 980, 796. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.8 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.47. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-(pyridine-4-yl)propanone fumaric acid salt (39F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(pyridine-3-yl)propionic acid ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a clear oil 39 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This oil $39(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric
acid salt 39F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
Compound 39F ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $37 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 149-155 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 1.92$ (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.99 (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.31 (br s, 2H), 2.86-3.08 (br m, 3H), 3.15 (q, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39-3.54 (br m, 3H), 4.06 (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2.78 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ~ \delta 25.8,26.1,27.7,34.2,46.6,48.0,48.3,49.6,127.5,135.6,139.7$, 141.7, 142.3, 147.5, 173.1, 176.3. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=260.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} 260.1757$, found 260.1751. Purity (> $99.9 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2949, 2852, 1731, 1642, 1562, 1312, 1180, 985, 787, 641. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{*} 1.4 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.48. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (40F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with trans-3-(4-fluorophenyl)acrylic acid ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( 210 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a clear oil 40 (149 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This oil $40(149 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 40F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54$ mmol ). Compound $\mathbf{4 0 F}(151 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $45 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 199-201 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.76$ (br d, $J=12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-3.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 26.6,28.4,46.7$, $115.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.1\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 139.0,162.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=247.1\right), 167.5$, 167.9. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=275.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS $(\mathrm{EI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O} 275.1554$, found 275.1547. Purity (>99.9 \%). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2952, 2851, 2717, 2640, 1732, 1652, 1620, 1558, 1507, 1316, 1217, 972, 826, 787, 645. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.49. (E)-1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(pyridin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-one fumaric acid salt (41F)

The N - $t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with trans-3-(pyridine-3-yl)acrylic acid ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. An additional HPLC purification $\left(\mathrm{RP}_{18}\right.$ silica gel, $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient) was necessary. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $238 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protection group of this solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a clear oil 41 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This oil 41 ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 41F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{4 1 F}$ ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $55 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $82-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
2.39 (br s, 2H), 3.23 (br d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.37 (br t, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.46-3.62 (br m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1.96 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 26.0,26.3,28.0$, $47.2,48.0,48.3,50.2,123.4,126.7,133.8,135.7,137.9,141.0,144.9,145.7,170.5,173.5$. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=258.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{EI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ 258.1601, found 258.1597. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2858, 1699, 1651, 1574, 1418, 1222, 1107, 971, 545. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} * 0.4 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 7.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.50. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-2-phenylprop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (42F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with phenylpropiolic acid ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $303 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure G with anhydrous $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(318 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41$ mmol) for 48 h and an off-white solid $42(148 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid 42 ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 42 F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{4 2 F}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $37 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $151-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (br s, 2H), 2.96-3.14 (br m, 4H), $3.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26$ (br d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.37 (br d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1.80 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.44-7.55$ (m, 3H), $7.60-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.5,26.0,28.6,45.6,47.3,47.4$, $50.3,82.5,89.4,120.0,128.9,130.4,132.2,135.0,154.3,167.7$. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z$ $=255.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 255.1492$, found 255.1507. Purity (> $99.5 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3536, 3390, 2218, 1658, 1607, 1425, 980. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 3.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.51. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (43F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(2methoxyphenyl)propiolic acid ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid $43(260 \mathrm{mg}, 95$ $\%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $43(260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 43F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91$ mmol ). Compound $\mathbf{4 3 F}$ ( $284 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $71 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $155-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.77-1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.20 (br s, 2H), 2.96-3.04 (br m, 1H), 3.07-3.23 (br m, 3H), 3.28 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43 (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.21 (br d, $J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.41 (br d, $J=13.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2.00 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$ ) $\delta 25.8,26.0,28.2,46.4$,
47.4, 47.5, 51.1, 56.8, 86.7, 89.1, 109.3, 112.5, 121.9, 133.7, 134.9, 136.0, 156.7, 161.8,
169.7. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=285.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{EI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 285.1598, found 285.1603. Purity (> $99.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2939, 2200, 1712, 1609, 1421, 1254, 968, 762, 728. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.52. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (44F)

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(3methoxyphenyl)propiolic acid ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $349 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this oil ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid $44(228 \mathrm{mg}, 99$ $\%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $44(228 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 44 F by using the general procedure G with fumaric acid ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ mmol ). Compound 44 F ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $60 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $123-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.04 (br d, $J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ (br d, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.41$ (br m, 2 H ), 3.45 (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.52-3.59 (br m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.37 (br d, $J=14.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1.60 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (br s, 1H), $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ $25.6,26.1,28.1,46.5,47.7,48.1,51.5,56.1,80.7,94.2,118.0,118.1,120.9,126.2,130.8$, 135.3, 157.9, 159.4, 172.1. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=285.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} 285.1598$, found 285.1605. Purity (> $99.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2935, 2863, 2207, 1718, 1703, 1611, 1417, 1231, 1106, 989, 682. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{*} 0.84 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.53. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (45F)

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(4methoxyphenyl)propiolic acid ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $377 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this oil ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid $\mathbf{4 5}(236 \mathrm{mg}, 99$ \%) was obtained after extraction. This solid $45(236 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 45F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83$ mmol ). Compound 45F ( 272 mg , $83 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $81 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $169-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.77$ (br d, $J=12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.01-3.12$ (br m, 3H), 3.23 (br d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.41-3.48 (br m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.25 (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1.92 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.5,25.9,28.5,45.6$, 47.1, 47.3, 50.3, 55.4, 81.7, 90.1, 111.7, 114.6, 134.1, 135.0, 154.6, 160.8, 167.7. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=285.3\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} 285.1598$, found
285.1594. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2868, 2198, 1717, 1600, 1511, 1254, 1172. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} * 0.95 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.54. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (46F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(3,4dimethoxyphenyl)propiolic acid ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $299 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( 260 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid 46 (192 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $46(192 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 46F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61$ mmol). Compound $\mathbf{4 6 F}(158 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $42 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $152-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.78$ (br d, $J=12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.01-3.15$ (br m, 3H), 3.24 (br d, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.44 (br dd, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $2.11 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.5,25.9,28.5,45.6,47.0,47.2,50.3,55.6,55.7$, 81.4, 90.4, 111.6, 111.9, 114.9, 126.2, 135.0, 148.6, 150.9, 154.6, 167.8. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=315.4\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 315.1703$, found 315.1702. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3081,2202,1695,1603,1514,1252,1107$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} * 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.55. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3-chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (47F)

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(3-chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)propiolic acid ( $211 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents an off-white solid ( $412 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and an off-white solid 47 ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $47(270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85$ mmol ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 47F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{4 7 F}$ ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) was obtained as an offwhite solid in $72 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $169-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.76-1.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19$ (br s, 2H), 3.00 (br d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.06-3.17 (br m, 2H), 3.21 (br d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43 (br d, $J=13.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $1.96 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.6,26.3,28.5,46.8,47.8,48.1,51.6,57.3,82.4$, $92.3,113.5,114.3,122.8,134.7,134.9,136.3,157.7,157.7,170.9$. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=319.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 319.1208, found 319.1212. Purity (> $99.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3088, 2205, 1720, 1609, 1426, 1296, 1255, 969. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} * 0.8 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.56. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(4-methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (48F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(4methylphenyl)propiolic acid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this solid ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid $48(217 \mathrm{mg}, 98$ $\%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $48(217 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 48F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81$ mmol ). Compound $\mathbf{4 8 F}(244 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $75 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 202-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.77$ (br d, $J=12.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $3.01-3.13$ (br m, 3H), 3.24 (br d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45 (br dd, $J=13.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.25 (br d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36$ (br d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47$ (s, 2.00H), 7.28 (d, $J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 21.2,25.5,25.9,28.6$, 45.6, 47.0, 47.2, 50.3, 82.2, 89.8, 116.9, 129.6, 132.2, 135.0, 140.5, 154.5, 167.8. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=269.4\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 269.1648$, found 269.1650. Purity (> $99.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3408, 2963, 2867, 2202, 1720, 1605, 1431, 1270, 977. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.57. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(3-fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (49F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(3fluorophenyl)propiolic acid ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (40:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $327 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid $49(210 \mathrm{mg}, 99$ $\%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $49(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 49F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77$ mmol). Compound 49F ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $60 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 154-158 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.84$ (br m, 2H), $2.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1.80 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 26.2,26.7,28.8,47.2,48.3,48.6,52.1,82.6,92.9$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=23.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.4,158.0,163.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=246.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 172.0. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=273.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 273.1398, found 273.1401. Purity (> $98 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3523, 3082, 2867, 2213, 1704, 1610, 1421, 1229, 969, 788. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.9 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.58. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)prop-2-yn-1one fumaric acid salt (50F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)propiolic acid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a yellow oil ( $347 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protection group of this oil ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and an off-white solid 50 ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $\mathbf{5 0}$ ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{5 0 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{5 0 F}(254 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ was obtained as an off-white solid in 66 \% yield over three steps; mp 182-186 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.77$ (br d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.04-3.16 (br m, 3H), 3.27 (br d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43 (br d, $J=13.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}$, 2.80 H ), $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.2,25.6,28.1,45.5,46.6,46.8,50.2,81.2,89.9,101.9,109.0,111.7$, $112.9,127.9,134.8,147.5,149.3,154.7,167.3$. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=299.3([M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 299.1390$, found 299.1393. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3495,3382,2196,1646,1602,1425,1251,1235,1038,977$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} * 1.2 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.59. 1-((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)-3-(naphthalene-1-yl)prop-2-yn-1-one fumaric acid salt (51F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 3-(naphthalene-1-yl)propiolic acid ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(40: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a clear oil ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this oil ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid 51 ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $\mathbf{5 1}(74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 51F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24$ mmol). Compound 51F ( 60 mg , $58 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $14 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $171-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.81$ (br d, $J=12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.89 (br d, $J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.11 (br s, 1H), 2.14 (br s, 1H), 3.02-3.18 (br m, 4H), 3.28 (br d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (br d, $J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2.10 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.72 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 25.5,25.9,28.5,45.7$, $47.0,47.1,50.5,87.4,87.5,117.4,125.1,125.6,127.1,127.9,128.8,130.8,132.1,132.6$, 132.8, 135.1, 154.5, 167.9. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=305.4\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 305.1648$, found 305.1644. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3498, 2840, 2199 , 1704, 1635, 1214, 970, 768, 644. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} * 0.75 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.60. (1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl(4-(pyridin-3-yl)phenyl)methanone fumaric acid salt (52F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 4-(3pyridyl)benzoic acid ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $403 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) was obtained. The $\mathrm{N}-t$ boc protection group of this solid ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a white solid $52(257 \mathrm{mg}, 90$ $\%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $52(257 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt $\mathbf{5 2 F}$ by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84$ mmol ). Compound $\mathbf{5 2 F}(202 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid in $50 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $149-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.02$ (br m, 2 H ), 2.24 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (br s, 1H), 3.33-3.42 (br m, 3H), 3.46-3.58 (br m, 3H), 3.91 (br d, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2.1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 25.8,26.2,28.0,47.2,47.4,48.4,52.5,127.3$, 128.3, 128.6, 135.7, 136.1, 137.2, 138.9, 142.4, 142.7, 143.0, 173.6, 175.0. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=308.4\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} 308.1757$, found 308.1743. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2855, 2579, 1718, 1604, 1435, 1261, 1099, 970, 633. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.61. (1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)methanone fumaric acid salt (53F)

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{tboc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 4-(4pyridyl)benzoic acid ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white solid ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - $t$ boc protection group of this solid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 4 h and a white solid $53(132 \mathrm{mg}, 97$ $\%)$ was obtained after extraction. This solid $53(132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 53F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43$ mmol). Compound 53F ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $34 \%$ yield over three steps; mp 175-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.02$ (br m, 2H), 2.25 (br s, 1 H ), 2.46 (br s, 1H), 3.34-3.60 (br m, 6H), 3.89 (br d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.56 (br d, $J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3.00 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 25.8,26.2,28.0,47.1,47.7$, $48.4,52.5,125.3,128.6,129.1,135.5,137.1,138.0,142.4,157.2,173.0,174.7$. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=308.4\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS $(E I)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} 308.1757$, found 308.1749. Purity (> $99.5 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2906, 2694, 2601, 1698, 1639, 1255, 1171, 969, 834, 636. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} * 1.4 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.62. (4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl)((1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl)methanone fumaric acid salt (54F)

The N - $t$ boc protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with $4-(1 \mathrm{H}-$ imidazol-1-yl)benzoic acid ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH (20:1) was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a white
solid ( $366 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) was obtained. The N -tboc protection group of this solid ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a white solid $54(209 \mathrm{mg}, 88$ $\%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This solid $54(209 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 54F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71$ mmol ). Compound 54F ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid in $29 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $178-181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.01$ (br m, 2H), 2.25 (br s, 1 H ), 2.45 (br s, 1H), 3.34-3.64 (br m, 6H), 3.87 (br d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.54 (br d, $J=13.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 25.8,26.2,27.9,47.2,47.4,48.4,52.5$, 121.6, 122.6, 123.3, 129.5, 135.0, 135.9, 136.4, 137.1, 174.2, 174.5. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=297.4\left([M+H]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} 297.1710$, found 297.1700. Purity (> $99 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3104, 2910, 2699, 1704, 1635, 1523, 1257, 969, 851, 636. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} * 0.9 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{*} 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.63. (1R,5S)-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-yl(2-(pyridine-4-yl)thiazol-4-yl)methanone fumaric acid salt (55F)

The $\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{boc}$ protected compound was obtained by using the general procedure E with 2-(4-pyridyl)thiazole-4-carboxylic acid ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h . A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ was used for the chromatographic purification. After removal of the solvents a yellow oil ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ) was obtained. The N - tboc protection group of this oil ( 401 mg , 0.97 mmol ) was cleaved using the general procedure F for 2 h and a yellow oil $55(252 \mathrm{mg}$, $83 \%$ ) was obtained after extraction. This oil $55(252 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to its fumaric acid salt 55 F by using the general procedure I with fumaric acid ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ mmol). Compound 55F ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) was obtained as an off-white solid in $28 \%$ yield over three steps; mp $124-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27$ (br s, 1H), 2.45 (br s, 1H), 3.34-3.72 (br m, 6H), 4.33 (br s, 1H), 4.51 (br s, 1H), 6.60 (s, $1.58 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 26.3,28.6,47.8,47.9,48.0,52.0,122.9,128.9,135.5,144.3,147.1,150.8$, 164.8, 167.9, 173.1. ESI-MS: positive mode $m / z=315.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS} 315.1274$, found 315.1261. Purity (> 99.5 \%). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3354,3201,2860$, 2600, 1704, 1630, 1458, 1406, 1247, 1015, 972, 641. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS} * 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} * 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.64. Calculation of physicochemical properties

The physicochemical properties have been calculated using ACD/ADME Suite 5.0 and ACD/PhysChem (ACD/Labs).
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\begin{abstract}
Abbreviations

| BBB | blood-brain barrier |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ | tetradeuteromethanol |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | deuterochloroform |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | dichloromethane |
| CNS | central nervous system |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | deuterium oxide |
| DCC | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide |
| DMAP | 4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine |
| DME | 1,2-dimethoxyethane |
| DMF | N,N-dimethylformamide |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | diethyl ether |
| $\mathbf{E t}_{3} \mathbf{N}$ | triethylamine |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| HBA | hydrogen bond acceptor |
| HCl | hydrogen chloride |
| HEPES | 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid |
| HSS | HEPES-buffered salt solution |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | potassium carbonate |
| KBr | potassium bromide |
| $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ | potassium permanganate |
| KOH | potassium hydroxide |
| MeCN | acetonitrile |
| MeI | iodomethane |
| MeOH | methanol |
| $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | magnesium sulfate |
| nAChR | nicotinic acetylcholine receptor |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | sodium hydrogen carbonate |
| NaOH | sodium hydroxide |

Pd/C palladium on activated charcoal
PE petroleum ether
PEI poly(ethyleneimine)
Ro5 rule of five
THF tetrahydrofuran
TPSA topological polar surface area
TRIS Tri(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
$\mathbf{Z n B r}_{\mathbf{2}} \quad$ zinc bromide
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Figure 1.
Structures of (-)-cytisine 1, cytisine derivatives 4-7 and the development of the 3,7diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane based compounds 13-55 incorporating a spacer motif, e.g. compounds 30, 31, 36, and 37 .


## Figure 2.

Responses of oocytes expressing diverse nAChR subtypes to 1 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of selected compounds (numbering are following the sequence in the tables) relative to ACh control responses. Responses of oocytes expressing diverse nAChRs to compounds co-applied at 1 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ with ACh compared to responses to ACh alone. Bars above zero indicate additive effects; bars below zero indicate reduced responses.



Scheme 1.
Synthesis of cytisine derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) (Boc) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2 h ; (b) NBS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, 2 h ; (c) R-B $(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, base, DME or DMF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [Ar], MW ( 30 W ), $80^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}$, 30 to 60 min ; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, MW ( 150 W ), $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.


## Scheme 2.

Synthesis of bispidine derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, $\mathrm{MeOH},[\mathrm{Ar}]$ reflux, 6 h ; (b) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(80 \%), \mathrm{NaOH}$, diethylene glycol, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, then Dean Stark trap, $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \%), \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (2-4 bar), MeOH, rt, 4-24 h; (d) CDI, THF, reflux, 2 h ; (e) (i) MeI, MeCN, THF, rt, 24 h ; (ii) R-COOH, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 12-120 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $\mathrm{HCl} / 1,4$-dioxane (4M), rt, 4 h ; (g) anhydr. $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 12-120 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) R-COCl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, toluene, $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (j) R-COOH, DCC, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$.
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> (log TPSA, $\log$ B) of 4
> Table 1
> $a_{\operatorname{logP} \text { values have been calculated using the ACD/Labs Algorithm (ACD); }}$
> ${ }^{b} \operatorname{logBB}$ was calculated from $\log P$ derived from using the ACD/Labs Algorithm (ACD);
> ${ }^{c}$ data from ref. 16-20.
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| Compd. | $\mathrm{R}=$ | ${ }^{\text {a } 4822^{*} \mathrm{Ki}}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | ${ }^{\text {a } 384 *}$ Ki [nM] | a ${ }^{*}$ Ki [ nM l ] | (a1) $2 \beta 1 \gamma \delta \mathrm{Ki}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | Mol. Weight | $C_{\text {Clog }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | TPSA | $\mathrm{logBB}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 |  | $39.6 \pm 5.4$ | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 286.37 | 1.81 | 41.57 | -0.23 |
| 22 |  | $287.5 \pm 25.7$ | > 1,000 | $>1,000$ | > 5,000 | 246.30 | 1.49 | 45.48 | -0.07 |
| 23 |  | $96.9 \pm 20.5$ | 2,000 | > 10,000 | n.d. | 262.37 | 1.68 | 60.58 | -0.32 |
| 24 |  | $57.1 \pm 12.3$ | n.d. | > 10,000 | n.d. | 262.37 | 1.66 | 60.58 | 0.33 |
| 41 |  | 32.59 | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 257.33 | 0.62 | 45.23 | $-0.23$ |
| 25 |  | 1.03 | > 1,000 | > 10,000 | > 5,000 | 257.33 | 0.37 | 45.23 | -0.27 |

Author Manuscript

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. | $\mathrm{R}=$ | ${ }^{\text {a } 482 *}$ Ki [ nM$]$ | ${ }^{\text {a } 384 *}$ Ki [nM] | a7* Ki [nM] | (a1) $\beta^{1} 1 \gamma \delta \mathrm{Ki}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | Mol. Weight | $C_{\text {log }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | TPSA | $\log ^{\text {B }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 2627 |  | $36.9 \pm 8.0$ | > 1,000 | $>1,000$ | > 5,000 | 300.35 | 1.99 | 50.80 | -0.15 |
|  |  | $296.6 \pm 50.7$ | > 5,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 306.40 | 3.10 | 32.34 | -0.11 |
| 42 |  | $10.9 \pm 3.4$ | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | > 1,000 | 254.33 | 2.41 | 32.34 | $-0.27$ |
| 43 |  | 241.9 | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 284.35 | 2.33 | 41.57 | $-0.23$ |
| 44 |  | $6.3 \pm 1.1$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 284.35 | 2.33 | 41.57 | -0.23 |
| 45 |  | $7.8 \pm 2.0$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 284.35 | 2.33 | 41.57 | -0.23 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. | $\mathrm{R}=$ | ${ }^{4} 4 \beta 2{ }^{2} \mathrm{Ki}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | ${ }^{\text {a } 3 \text { B4* }} \mathrm{Ki}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ * Ki [nM] | ${ }_{(101)} \beta 11 \% \delta \mathrm{Ki}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | Mol. Weight | $\mathrm{Clog}^{\text {P }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | TPSA | $\operatorname{logBB}^{b}$ |
| 4647 |  | $2.1 \pm 0.8$ | $>1,000$ | $>1,000$ | $>5,000$ | 314.38 | 2.15 | 50.80 | $-0.24$ |
|  |  | $0.991 \pm 0.02$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 318.80 | 2.87 | 41.57 | -0.19 |
| 48 |  | $10.9 \pm 2.1$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 268.35 | 2.87 | 32.34 | -0.03 |
| 49 |  | $7.1 \pm 2.4$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 272.32 | 2.46 | 32.34 | -0.17 |
| 50 |  | $3.1 \pm 1.0$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 298.34 | 2.27 | 50.80 | -0.14 |
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|  |  |  |  | NH <br> $\sim \mathrm{N}-$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. | $\mathrm{R}=$ | ${ }^{\text {a } 482 *}$ Ki [ nM$]$ | a3B4* Ki [nM] | a7* Ki [nM] |  | Mol. Weight | $\mathrm{Clog}^{\text {a }}$ | TPSA | $\operatorname{logBB}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  | $46.0 \pm 7.5$ | $>1,000$ | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 304.39 | 3.64 | 32.34 | $-0.09$ |
| 28 |  | $39.9 \pm 7.1$ | $1089.5 \pm 290.5$ | *c | *c | 306.40 | 2.21 | 32.34 | $-0.65$ |
| 52 |  | $25.0 \pm 5.5$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 307.39 | 0.90 | 45.23 | $-0.85$ |
| 53 |  | $7.1 \pm 1.3$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 307.39 | 0.83 | 45.23 | $-0.86$ |
| 54 |  | $5.6 \pm 0.9$ | > 1,000 | > 1,000 | > 5,000 | 296.37 | 0.33 | 49.64 | $-0.29$ |
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