The Effects of Subunit Composition on the Inhibition of Nicotinic Receptors by the Amphipathic Blocker 2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl Heptanoate

Roger L. Papke, Joshua D. Buhr, Michael M. Francis,1 Kyung Il Choi,2 Jeffrey S. Thinschmidt, and Nicole A. Horenstein

Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida (R.L.P., J.D.B., M.M.F., J.S.T.); and Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida (K.I.C., N.A.H.)

Received February 1, 2005; accepted March 10, 2005

ABSTRACT

The therapeutic targeting of nicotinic receptors in the brain will benefit from the identification of drugs that may be selective for their ability to activate or inhibit a limited range of nicotine acetylcholine receptor subtypes. In the present study, we describe the effects of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl heptanoate (TMPH), a novel compound that is a potent inhibitor of neuronal nicotinic receptors. Evaluation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subunits expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes indicated that TMPH can produce a potent and long-lasting inhibition of neuronal nAChR formed by the pairwise combination of the most abundant neuronal α (i.e., α3 and α4) and β subunits (β2 and β4), with relatively little effect, because of rapid reversibility of inhibition, on muscle-type (α1β1γδ) or α7 receptors. However, the inhibition of neuronal β subunit-containing receptors was also decreased if any of the nonessential subunits α5, α6, or β3 were coexpressed. This decrease in inhibition is shown to be associated with a single amino acid present in the second transmembrane domain of these subunits. Our data indicate great potential utility for TMPH to help relate the diverse central nervous system effects to specific nAChR subtypes.

There are multiple types of nicotine acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) in the brain associated with synaptic function, signal processing or cell survival. The therapeutic targeting of nicotinic receptors in the brain will benefit from the identification of drugs that may be selective for their ability to activate or inhibit a limited range of these receptor subtypes. Mecamylamine is a ganglionic blocker developed many years ago as an antihypertensive and more recently suggested to be effective as a component in the pharmacotherapy for Tourette’s syndrome (Sanberg et al., 1998) and smoking cessation (Rose et al., 1994). However, electrophysiological characterization of mecamylamine has shown it to be relatively nonselective (Papke et al., 2001), consistent with the observation that it effectively blocks all of the peripheral and central nervous system effects of nicotine (Martin et al., 1993). We previously identified a family of bis-tetramethylpiperidine compounds as inhibitors of neuronal type nicotinic receptors (Francis et al., 1998). The prototype compound in this series is BTMPS [bis-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinyl)-sebacate], which produces a readily reversible block of muscle-type nAChR and a nearly irreversible use-dependent, voltage-independent block of neuronal nAChR. The tetramethyl-piperidine groups of BTMPS are sufficient to produce block of nAChR, and the conjugation of two such groups with a long aliphatic chain accounts for both the selectivity and slow reversibility of BTMPS inhibition of neuronal nAChR (Francis et al., 1998). In the present study, we describe the effects of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl heptanoate (TMPH), a novel compound that has a single tetramethyl-piperidine group and an aliphatic chain similar to that of BTMPS. TMPH is also a potent inhibitor of neuronal nicotinic receptors.

Simple models for nAChR subtypes are provided by pairwise combinations of α and β subunits expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. However, there is a growing appreciation that ancillary subunits such as α5, α6, and β3 that work poorly in

ABBREVIATIONS: nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; BTMPS, bis-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinyl)-sebacate; TMPH, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl heptanoate; TM, transmembrane; ACh, acetylcholine.
pairwise combinations with other single subunits, but nonetheless contribute to functionally important receptor subtypes in vivo. We show that for the commonly used nAChR subunit pairwise combinations inhibition by TMPH is only very slowly reversible. However, the incorporation of these additional subunits results in receptors that recover more rapidly and would thus show lower equilibrium inhibition in vivo. Therefore, based on the characterization of this agent’s effects on specific nAChR subtypes, TMPH may identify the particular molecular substrates that underlie the multiple effects of nicotine in the brain.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis

Chemicals used for the synthesis were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI). Compounds were characterized by 1H NMR and fast atom bombardment-mass spectrometry.

TMPH Synthesis. To a mixture of 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinol (472 mg; 3.0 mmol) and methyl heptanoate (476 mg; 3.3 mmol) in 3.0 ml of dimethyl formamide was added 250 mg of powdered potassium carbonate. The resulting mixture was heated at ~145 to 155°C for 64 h under a gentle stream of N2. After cooling, the reaction mixture was partitioned between water and hexanes. The organic layer was separated, washed with water (two times) and brine, and then dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and evaporated to afford the crude product as an oil. The oil was dissolved in MeOH and was then treated with 2 equivalents of concentrated HCl. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was then treated with diethyl ether. The resulting solids were removed by filtration. The ethereal filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and triturated with hexane to afford 380 mg (41%) of TMPH hydrochloride. It was recrystallized from boiling ethyl acetate/hexane to afford short colorless needles, melting point 113 to 115°C. Fast atom bombardment-high resolution mass spectrometer: calculated (C16H32NO2): 270.2433 found: 270.2435.

Expression in X. laevis Oocytes

Mature (>9 cm) female X. laevis African frogs (Nasco, Ft. Atkinson, WI) were used as a source of oocytes. Before surgery, frogs were anesthetized by placing the animal in a 1.5 g/l solution of 3-amino-benzoic acid ethyl ester for 30 min. Oocytes were removed from an incision made in the abdomen.

To remove the follicular cell layer, harvested oocytes were treated with 1.25 mg/ml type 1 collagenase (Worthington Biochemicals, Freehold, NJ) for 2 h at room temperature in calcium-free Barth’s solution (88 mM NaCl, 1 mM KCl, 0.33 mM MgSO4, 2.4 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, and 50 mg/l gentamicin sulfate). Thereafter, stage 5 oocytes were isolated and injected with 50 nl of each of the appropriate subunit cRNAs. Recordings were automatically perfused with bath solution, and agonist solutions were delivered from a 96-well plate. Both the voltage and current electrodes were filled with 3 M KCl. The agonist solutions were applied via disposable tips, which eliminated any possibility of cross-contamination. Drug applications alternated between ACh controls and experimental applications. Flow rates were set at 2 ml/min for experiments with α7 receptors and 4 ml/min for other subtypes. Cells were voltage-clamped at a holding potential of ~60 mV. Data were collected at 50 Hz and filtered at 20 Hz. Agonist applications were 12 s in duration followed by 181-s washout periods for α7 receptors and 8 s with 241-s wash periods for other subtypes. For some experiments, particularly under conditions where residual inhibition precluded making repeated measurements from single cells (see below), manual oocyte recordings were made as described previously (Papke and Papke, 2002). In brief, Warner Instrument (Hamden, CT) OC-725C oocyte amplifiers were used, and data were acquired with a MiniDigi or Digidata 1200A with pClamp9 software (Axon Instruments Inc.). Sampling rates were between 10 and 20 Hz and the data were filtered at 6 Hz. Cells were voltage clamped at a holding potential of ~50 mV. Data obtained with these methods were comparable with those obtained with OpusXpress.

Experimental Protocols and Data Analysis. Each oocyte received two initial control applications of ACh, an experimental drug application (or coapplication of ACh and TMPH), and then follow-up control application(s) of ACh. The control ACh concentrations for α1β1γδ, α1β4, α1β2, α3β2γ, α3β2δ, α6β2γδ, α6β4γδ, and α7 receptors were 30, 100, 10, 30, 1, 100, 100, 100, and 300 μM, respectively. These concentrations were selected because they gave large responses with relatively little desensitization so that the same oocyte could be stimulated repeatedly with little decline in the amplitude of the ACh responses. This allowed us to separate out the inhibitory effects of the antagonist from possible cumulative desensitization.

Responses to experimental drug applications were calculated relative to the preceding ACh control responses to normalize the data, compensating for the varying levels of channel expression among the oocytes. Responses were characterized based on both their peak amplitudes and the net charge (Papke and Papke, 2002). In brief, for net charge measurement a 90-s segment of data beginning 2 s before drug application from all succeeding data points. When necessary, baseline reference was also corrected for drift using Clampfit 9.0 (Axon Instruments Inc.). After baseline correction, net charge was then calculated by taking the sum of all the adjusted points. The normalized net charge values were calculated by dividing the net charge value of the experimental response by the net charge value calculated for the preceding ACh control response. Means and S.E.M. were calculated from the normalized responses of at least four oocytes for each experimental concentration. To measure the residual inhibitory effects, this subsequent control response was compared with the preapplication control ACh response.

For concentration-response relations, data derived from net charge analyses were plotted using Kaleidagraph 3.0.2 (Abelbeck/
Fig. 1. TMPH produces selective long-term inhibition of neuronal ganglionic type α3β4 receptors. A, chemical structure of TMPH. B, top, raw data traces obtained from an oocyte expressing mouse muscle-type α1β1εδ subunits to the application of either 10 μM ACh alone (○) or the coapplication of 10 μM ACh and 300 nM TMPH (arrow). Bottom, averaged normalized data (±S.E.M.; n ≥ 4) from oocytes expressing α1β1εδ subunits to the coapplication 10 μM ACh and a range of TMPH concentrations. C, top, raw data traces obtained from an oocyte expressing rat ganglionic-type α3β4 subunits to the application of either 100 μM ACh alone (○) or the coapplication of 100 μM ACh and 300 nM TMPH (arrow). Bottom, averaged normalized data (±S.E.M.; n ≥ 4) from oocytes expressing α3β4 subunits to the coapplication 100 μM ACh and a range of TMPH concentrations. Three values are plotted in each of the concentration-response curves: the peak current amplitude of the coapplication response, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (○); the net charge of the coapplication response, normalized to the net charge of the previous ACh control (▲) (Papke and Papke, 2002); and the peak current amplitude of the ACh control response obtained after the TMPH/ACh coapplication, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (●).
Negative Hill slopes were applied for the calculation of IC₅₀ values. and 10 mM HEPES. Cells were held at 9. Data analysis was done with Clampfit version 9. The remainder of the experiment. Signals were digitized using an edge, NJ) and swiftly decapitated. Transverse (300 mm) whole brain slices were prepared using a Vibratome and a high Mg²⁺-containing artificial cerebral spinal fluid containing 124 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 0.1 mM Na₂GTP, and 10 mM HEPES saturated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂. Slices were anesthetized with Halothane (Halocarbon Laboratories, River Edge, NJ) and swiftly decapitated. Transverse (300 mm) whole brain slices were prepared using a Vibratome and a high Mg²⁺-containing artificial cerebral spinal fluid containing 124 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 0.1 mM Na₂GTP, and 10 mM HEPES saturated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂. Slices were incubated at 30°C for 30 min and then left at room temperature until they were transferred to a submersion chamber for recording. During experiments slices were perfused (2 ml/min) with normal artificial cerebral spinal fluid containing 126 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1.5 mM MgSO₄, 11 mM d-glucose, 2.4 mM CaCl₂, 25.9 mM NaHCO₃, and 0.008 mM atrazine sulfate saturated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂ at 30°C. Medial septum/diagonal band cells were visualized with infrared differential interference contrast microscopy using a Nikon E600FN microscope. Whole cell patch-clamp recordings were made with glass pipettes (3–5 MΩ) containing an internal solution of 125 mM potassium gluconate, 1 mM KCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgATP, 0.3 mM Na₃GTP, and 10 mM HEPES. Cells were held at −70 mV, and a −10 mV to 10 mV test pulse was used to determine series and input resistances. Cells with series resistances >60 MΩ or those requiring holding currents >200 pA were not included in the final analyses. Local somatic application of 1 mM ACh and 1 mM ACh + 300 μM TMPH was performed using double barrel glass pipettes attached to a picospritzer (General Valve, Fairfield, NJ) with Teflon tubing (10–20 psi for 5–30 ms). For each cell, two baseline evoked responses to ACh were recorded followed by two evoked responses to ACh + TMPH (interstimulus interval of 30 s). ACh was then applied every 30 s for the remainder of the experiment. Signals were digitized using an Axon Digitizer 1200A and sampled at 20 kHz using Clampex version 9. Data analysis was done with Clampfit version 9.

**Results**

**TMPH Inhibition of Acetylcholine Receptor Subtypes.** TMPH (Fig. 1) was initially tested on mouse muscle-type (α1β1εδ) nAChR, three different pairwise combinations of rat neuronal α and β subunits (αβ4, αβ2, and αβ2), and a7 homeric neuronal nAChR. In addition, combinations of three subunits and an α6/3 chimera were tested. The results are summarized in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 1, both muscle-type and αβ4 receptors (a minimal model for ganglionic-type receptors) were inhibited during the coapplication of ACh and TMPH. Although the inhibition of muscle-type receptors was readily reversible after a 5-min wash, the inhibition of αβ4 receptors persisted after the wash. The data also indicate that the inhibition of αβ4 receptors became progressively greater during the coapplication response so that the inhibition of net charge was greater than the inhibition of peak current. This was not the case for the inhibition of muscle-type receptors. The other neuronal α-β subunit pairs tested, α4β2 and α3β2, were blocked in a manner similar to αβ4 receptors (Fig. 2), with a larger inhibition of net charge than peak current and virtually no recovery after a 5-min wash. In contrast, a7 receptors, like muscle-type receptors, showed little difference between the inhibition of peak currents and net charge and showed significant recovery after a 5-min wash (Fig. 2). These differences are reflected in the IC₅₀ values presented in Table 1. Note that receptors that rapidly equilibrate inhibition and recover readily (e.g., muscle-type receptors and a7) have ratios of the IC₅₀ for net charge to the IC₅₀ for peak currents of close to 1 (Table 2). In contrast, for receptor types that show progressively more inhibition during the coapplication and have slow recovery, the ratio of the IC₅₀ for net charge to the IC₅₀ for peak currents is much less than 1. Therefore, for receptors that show progressively more inhibition during the coapplication IC₅₀ values estimated from the inhibition of net charge are similar to those that can be derived from persistent inhibition measured after a 5-min wash (i.e., from the recovery data; Table 2).

**Neuronal nAChR Recovery Rates.** Our initial experiments evaluated recovery after only a single 5-min wash. To evaluate the actual rates at which a7 and the various nAChR pairwise subunit combinations recovered from TMPH-induced inhibition, we made repeated applications of ACh alone after a single coapplication of ACh and TMPH. As shown in Fig. 3, the rat α4β2, α3β2, and αβ4 receptors showed virtually no detectable recovery over a period of 30 min, whereas a7 receptors were fully recovered after approximately 15 min of wash.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subunit</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (Peak)</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (Area)</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (Recovery)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouse muscle</td>
<td>276 ± 20 nM</td>
<td>390 ± 50 nM</td>
<td>27 ± 5.5 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1 μM</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2 μM</td>
<td>16 ± 4 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α4β2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3 μM</td>
<td>110 ± 40 nM</td>
<td>250 ± 80 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β4</td>
<td>200 ± 50 nM</td>
<td>75 ± 25 nM</td>
<td>85 ± 32 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.2 μM</td>
<td>440 ± 90 nM</td>
<td>230 ± 30 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human α3β2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.2 μM</td>
<td>460 ± 170 nM</td>
<td>400 ± 120 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human α3β2δ</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5 μM</td>
<td>430 ± 180 nM</td>
<td>750 ± 200 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β2β3</td>
<td>120 ± 50 nM</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6 μM</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.5 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α6β2β3</td>
<td>60 ± 20 nM</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3 μM</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.5 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α6β4β3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2 μM</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2 μM</td>
<td>30 ± 50 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α6β4β3</td>
<td>11.0 ± 4.4 μM</td>
<td>18.3 ± 4.9 μM</td>
<td>1700 ± 420 μM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data for the recovery of cells expressing α3β4β3 were fit to a two-site model (see Fig. 9).
Use Dependence of Inhibition by TMPH. We evaluated the degree to which inhibition by TMPH was use-dependent by applying 1 μM TMPH alone and comparing the response to a subsequent control ACh application to that obtained after 1 μM TMPH was coapplied with ACh. As shown in Fig. 4, the ability of TMPH to inhibit neuronal nAChR when applied in the absence of agonist varied significantly among the pairwise subunit combinations tested, but in all cases was less than when TMPH was coapplied with agonist. It is interesting that although TMPH alone applied to α4β2 receptors was almost as effective as when coapplied with ACh, TMPH alone applied to α3β2 receptors had no detectable effect after the washout period.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subunit Combination</th>
<th>IC50 (Net Charge/IC50 Peak)</th>
<th>IC50 (Recovery/IC50 Net Charge)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouse α1β1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α4β2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human α3β2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human α3β2α5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β2β3</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α6β3β3</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α3β4β3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.7, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat α6β4β3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData for the recovery of cells expressing α3β4β3 was fit to a two-site model (see Fig. 9).

**Fig. 2.** TMPH inhibition of responses obtained from oocytes expressing rat neuronal nAChR subunits. Shown are the averaged normalized data (± S.E.M.; n ≥ 4) from oocytes expressing α4β2, α3β2, and α7 subunits (from top to bottom, respectively) to the coapplication ACh and a range of TMPH concentrations. Three values are plotted in each of the concentration-response curves: the peak current amplitude of the coapplication response, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (●); the net charge of the coapplication response, normalized to the net charge of the previous ACh control (▲); and the peak current amplitude of the ACh control response obtained after the TMPH/ACh coapplication, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (○). The control ACh concentrations used were 10, 30, and 300 μM for α4β2, α3β2, and α7, respectively.

**Fig. 3.** TMPH produces long-term inhibition of neuronal beta subunit-containing nAChR but not α7 homomeric receptors. ACh and TMPH were coapplied at time 0 to oocytes expressing rat α3β4, α4β2, α3β2, or α7 subunits. Thereafter, control ACh applications were made at 5-min intervals to measure recovery. The control ACh concentrations used were 100, 10, 30, and 300 μM for α3β4, α4β2, α3β2, and α7, respectively. The initial inhibition was produced by the coapplication of ACh at the control concentration and 30 μM TMPH, except in the case of the α3β2 receptors, which were inhibited by the coapplication of ACh and 3 μM TMPH.
Progressive Inhibition of α4β2 Receptors by Repeated Coapplications of ACh and TMPH below Its IC\textsubscript{50} Value. The IC\textsubscript{50} values presented in Table 1 were based on the inhibition produced by single coapplications (20 s in duration) of ACh and TMPH. Because for the neuronal β subunit-containing receptors the onset of inhibition is apparently much faster than the reversibility of inhibition, measurements based on single applications of TMPH are likely to underestimate what equilibrium IC\textsubscript{50} values would be. To test the hypothesis that repeated applications of TMPH would produce an accumulated inhibition that would be greater than the inhibition produced by a single application, we made repeated coapplications of ACh and 100 nM TMPH to oocytes expressing α4β2 receptors. Coapplications of TMPH and ACh were alternated with applications of ACh alone. As shown in Fig. 5, repeated coapplications of ACh with 100 nM TMPH (IC\textsubscript{50} in single-dose experiments) produced 90% inhibition after three applications at 10-min intervals. Further applications did not produce additional inhibition. Making a corresponding shift in the α4β2 net charge inhibition curve in Fig. 3 (i.e., so that 100 nM is the IC\textsubscript{50} rather than the IC\textsubscript{50}) suggests that the equilibrium IC\textsubscript{50} would be approximately 10 nM.

Effect of α5 Coexpression with α3β2 Subunits on the Sensitivity to TMPH. As noted above, efforts to connect data obtained from oocyte studies with in vivo data can be complicated by the fact that nAChR in vivo may have more complex subunit composition than the simple pairwise α/β subunit combinations most readily tested in oocytes. One such subunit that contributes to the complexity of acetylcholine receptors in vivo is α5, which is not required to coassemble with other subunits for them to function but is likely to be present in some receptor subtypes in vivo (Wang et al., 1996; Gerzanich et al., 1998). We tested the hypothesis that the presence of the α5 subunit could modulate the sensitivity of a neuronal nAChR subunit to TMPH. For these experiments, we used human α3 and β2 subunits, which readily form receptors with or without the coexpression of the human α5 subunit. We chose to use these subunits because the successful inclusion of the α5 subunit produces an easily detectable change in receptor pharmacology, increasing the potency of ACh (Gerzanich et al., 1998). All batches of oocytes used for these experiments were confirmed to have this predicted effect of α5 expression.

As shown in Fig. 6, ACh responses of oocytes expressing human α3β2 and human α3β2α5 showed similar sensitivity to TMPH during the initial coapplication. (The IC\textsubscript{50} values based on net charge analysis were 460 ± 170 and 430 ± 180 nM, respectively.) However, as shown in Fig. 7, oocytes expressing α5 along with α3 and β2 showed much faster recovery than those expressing α3 and β2 alone. The responses of oocytes expressing α3β2α5 had a half-time of recovery of approximately 15 min, whereas those expressing human α3β2 receptors showed no significant recovery over a period of 40 min, similar to the oocytes expressing rat α3β2 receptors (Fig. 3).

Inhibition of Receptors Containing β3 and α6 Subunits. It has been suggested that in vivo β3 subunits may coassemble with α6 and possibly α4 and β2 to make receptors that regulate dopamine release (Champtiaux et al., 2003). However, the α6 subunit expresses poorly in oocytes when used in pairwise combinations with beta subunits (Kuryatov et al., 2000). One approach to get around this problem has been to use a chimera of α6 and α3 that has improved expression properties. Because of the presence of the α6 extracellular domain, receptors formed with this chimera are sensitive to α6-selective competitive antagonists (Dowell et al., 2003). We compared the effects of TMPH on oocytes expressing α3β2β3 and a chimera of α6 and α3 subunits, α6/3 (Dowell et al., 2003) along with β2 and β3. This approach allowed

![Figure 4](image)

Fig. 4. Varying amounts of use-independent inhibition of neuronal β subunit-containing receptors by TMPH. A, representative data showing the effects of the application of 1 μM TMPH alone to α4β2- and α3β2-expressing oocytes (open arrows), compared with the application of ACh alone (C) either before or after the application of TMPH. The control ACh concentrations used were 10 and 30 μM for α4β2 and α3β2, respectively. B, comparison of the use-dependent (1 μM TMPH + ACh) and use-independent (1 μM TMPH alone) inhibition of α3β4, α4β2, and α3β2 receptors by TMPH. The data are calculated from the peak amplitudes of control ACh responses obtained after the application of TMPH ± ACh, expressed relative to the peak current amplitude of ACh control responses before the application of TMPH.
us to systematically evaluate first the effects of the β3 subunits (by comparing oocytes injected with α3β2β3 to those expressing α3β2 alone) and second the effects of the α6 extracellular domain (by comparing α6/3β2β3 with α3β2β3).

The addition of the β3 subunit to the α3 and β2 subunits had the effect of decreasing sensitivity to an initial application of TMPH (Fig. 8A) such that the IC₅₀ for inhibiting α3β2 without β3 (Figs. 2 and 8; Table 1). The receptors containing the α6/3 chimera in combination with β2 and β3 were not significantly different in their sensitivity to TMPH from those made up of the α3β2β3 wild-type subunits (Fig. 8B; Table 1). The recovery of β3-containing receptors from TMPH was relatively complex. There was approximately 50% recovery in the first 10 min, but no further recovery after that. This was similar for both α3β2β3 and α6/3β2β3 (Fig. 8C). One possible explanation for this would be if the coexpression of these subunits resulted in mixed populations of receptors, some containing β3 subunits and showing rapid recovery, and others formed without β3 and showing the nearly irreversible block seen when α3 and β2 are expressed as a pair. This is certainly a likely scenario for the combination containing wild-type α3 and may also be the case for the combination containing the chimera, because in our experience there is an increase of approximately 2-fold in currents when β3 is coexpressed with β2 and the α6/3 chimera compared with α6/3 and β2 alone (data not shown).

The data in Fig. 8 suggest that the β3 subunit imparts some resistance to inhibition by TMPH and also that the extracellular domain of α6 has relatively little effect. We therefore tested oocytes expressing the complete wild-type α6 subunit. The α6 subunit was coexpressed with β4 and β3 because this is the only α6 combination we have found to work with any consistency. For these experiments, as a con-
we compared the oocytes injected with α6β4β3 to oocytes injected with α3β4β3. As shown in Fig. 9, the oocytes expressing α6β4β3 showed relatively weak inhibition by TMPH during the coapplication of TMPH and ACh, with an IC\(_{50}\) value for the inhibition of net charge nearly an order of magnitude higher than for any other receptor subunit combination tested (Table 1). This reduced sensitivity to TMPH was most probably caused by both the α6 and the β3 subunits because α3β4β3-injected oocytes were much less sensitive than those expressing α3β4 alone.

The responses of oocytes expressing α6β4β3 showed essentially full recovery after only a single wash period (Fig. 9B). However, as with the oocytes expressing α3, β2, and β3, it is likely that the cells injected with α3, β4, and β3 had a mixed population of receptors because the recovery data were best fit with a two-site model (Fig. 9A).

**Sequence in the Pore-Forming TM2 Domain Regulates TMPH Inhibition.** Although receptors containing pairwise combinations with either β2 or β4 showed nearly irreversible inhibition by TMPH, inclusion of any of the com-

![Graph showing TMPH inhibition of responses obtained from oocytes expressing human neuronal nAChR subunits.](image-url)
mon ancillary subunits (α5, α6, or β3) resulted in more readily reversible inhibition. Sequence in the pore-forming second transmembrane domain (TM2) is important for regulating sensitivity to other noncompetitive inhibitors, including mecamylamine and BTMPS, which is structurally related to TMPH (Francis et al., 1998; Webster et al., 1999). As shown in Fig. 10A, one point of sequence difference that stands out between β2 and β4 compared with the other neuronal nAChR is at the 10’ position (i.e., the 10th residue within the second putative transmembrane domain; Miller, 1989). In particular, there is an alanine in β2 and β4 at this site and either a serine or threonine in subunits associated with reduced sensitivity to TMPH.

We coexpressed α3 and a previously published β4 mutant (Webster et al., 1999) that contains a threonine substitution at the 10’ site. Although these receptors were strongly inhibited during a coapplication of 100 μM ACh and 30 μM TMPH, there was significant recovery after a single 5-min washout (Fig. 10B). The α3β410’T receptors recovered from TMPH inhibition with an estimated time constants of 25 ± 3 min, whereas for α3β4 wild-types the estimated time constant for recovery was 450 ± 50 min (Fig. 10C, wild-type data from Fig. 3 shown for comparison).

**Selective Inhibition by TMPH of Mixed Receptor Responses.** Oocytes injected with a combination of α7 RNA and α3 plus β4 RNA show responses that exhibit both fast and slow components (Fig. 11A), hypothetically representing two populations of receptors. When these receptors are expressed separately, α7 receptors show only transient block by TMPH, whereas α3β4 receptors show prolonged blockade. When TMPH and ACh are coapplied to an oocyte injected with all three subunits, the rapid component of the response, presumably corresponding to the α7 receptors is resistant, as can be seen after a 5-min washout. In contrast, most of the slow component of the mixed response was eliminated by the ACh/TMPH coapplication.

Note that with a simple coapplication protocol, a large transient current is evoked that we would hypothesize to be caused, at least in part, by activation of both α7 and non-α7 receptors before the use-dependent effects of TMPH. However, because α7 receptors activate on the leading edge of the solution exchange (Papke and Thinschmidt, 1998; Papke and Papke, 2002), when the TMPH concentration would still be relatively low, they would be somewhat protected from full TMPH inhibition during coapplication. Therefore, we also tested an alternative protocol which preapplied TMPH alone at 30 μM before the coapplication of 30 μM TMPH and 1 mM ACh. With this protocol (Fig. 11B), the response was very effectively inhibited during the ACh/TMPH coapplication. There was significant recovery after a 5-min washout, and the recovered response had the rapid kinetics of a pure α7 response, indicating prolonged inhibition of the α3β4 receptors selectively.

Neurons in the rat septum vary in their nAChR expression in ways that are correlated to their physiological and neurotransmitter phenotypes. Septal neurons that have fast firing rates, likely to be GABAergic, often have both fast and slow components to their ACh-evoked responses, with the fast component blockable by methyllycaconitine (Thinschmidt et al., 2004; Henderson et al., 2005). Figure 11C shows the ACh-evoked response from a septal neuron recording in a fresh brain slice. The coapplication of TMPH and ACh evoked a relatively small response, suggesting that TMPH was an effective inhibitor of the neuronal nAChR in this ex vivo preparation. During the coapplication peaks were reduced to 17 ± 3% of the original average response (n = 2) and the net charge of the coapplication responses were likewise reduced to 15 ± 10%. After 3 min of washout after the TMPH appli-

![Fig. 7. Recovery of human α5-containing α3β2 receptors from TMPH-produced inhibition is rapid compared with the recovery of receptors formed with α3β2 subunits alone. A, representative traces obtained from oocytes expressing human α3β2 or α3β2α5 subunits. After an initial application of ACh alone (○), a single coapplication was made of ACh and 1 μM TMPH (arrow). Recovery was evaluated by making repeated control ACh applications at 5-min intervals (open circles). B, normalized average responses of oocytes expressing α3β2 or α3β2α5 receptors (±S.E.M.; n = 4) to repeated applications of ACh alone, after a single application of ACh plus TMPH (as in A). Peak currents are plotted, normalized to the ACh control response recorded before the ACh/TMPH coapplication. Note that the traces in A are shown on the same time scale as the x-axis in B.](image-url)
Fig. 8. TMPH inhibition of responses obtained from oocytes expressing β3 and chimeric αδ/α3 subunits. Shown are the averaged normalized data (±S.E.M.; n ≥ 4) from oocytes expressing human α3β2β3 or α6/3β2β3 subunits (A and B, respectively) to the coapplication ACh and a range of TMPH concentrations. Three values are plotted in each of the concentration-response curves: the peak current amplitude of the coapplication response, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (●); the net charge of the coapplication response, normalized to the net charge of the previous ACh control (▼); and the peak current amplitude of the ACh control response obtained after the TMPH/ACh coapplication, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control ( ● ). The control ACh concentration used was 100 μM. C, progressive recovery of oocytes expressing human α3β2β3 or α6/3β2β3 subunits. Data at t = 0 represents the average inhibition of peak currents during the coapplication of 100 μM ACh and 10 μM TMPH. Following points represent the responses to subsequent applications of 100 μM ACh alone at 5-min intervals. Points represent the average (±S.E.M.) of at least four oocytes, and the data were normalized to the peak responses obtained 5 min before the ACh/TMPH coapplication.
cation, there was a differential recovery of peak and net charge. Peaks amplitude had returned to 95% ± 1% of the control amplitude, whereas there was still a 43 ± 9% inhibition of the net charge. As shown in the figure inset, most of that net charge was associated with the α7-like component of the current.

Discussion

Our experiments show that TMPH is a potent noncompetitive antagonist of neuronal nAChR. Its properties are in many ways similar to its bis analog BTMPS (Papke et al., 1994), except that TMPH can produce both use-dependent and for some receptor subtypes (notably, the abundant α4β2 subtype), inhibition, that does not require prior channel activation. Such use-independent inhibition, coupled with slow reversibility suggests that relatively low steady concentrations of TMPH could profoundly down-regulate the function of sensitive nAChR subtypes of the brain, beyond the levels that might be achieved by activity-driven inhibition.

Although experimental agonists and antagonists are the most basic tools of pharmacology, agents with known selectivity for specific receptor subtypes are markedly better tools for understanding the molecular substrates of brain function and potential therapeutic targets. TMPH may be just such a tool for helping us understand brain nicotine receptors. However, neither BTMPS nor the prototypical ganglionic blocker mecamylamine has been tested on the same array of defined subunit combinations as were used in the current study, so
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**Fig. 9.** TMPH inhibition of responses obtained from oocytes expressing β4, β3, and either α3 (A) or α6 subunits (B). Shown are the averaged normalized data (±S.E.M.; n = 4) to the coapplication 100 μM ACh and a range of TMPH concentrations. Three values are plotted in each of the concentration-response curves: the peak current amplitude of the coapplication response, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (○); the net charge of the coapplication response, normalized to the net charge of the previous ACh control (▲); and the peak current amplitude of the ACh control response obtained after the TMPH/ACh coapplication, normalized to the peak amplitude of the previous ACh control (●). Note that the recovery data for oocytes expressing α3, β4, and β3 could not be fit to a one site model. The curve fit show is for a two-site model with approximately 15% fit to an IC₅₀ value of 1 μM and 85% fit to an IC₅₀ value of 30 μM.
the challenge remains to better understand the activity of these inhibitors.

In recent years, the nomenclature for brain nAChR has been changing in such a way as to reflect our acknowledged uncertainty about the exact subunit composition of brain nAChR. What were previously referred to as α4β2 receptors are now often α4β2* or even just α4* receptors (Tapper et al., 2004), where the asterisk indicates the uncertain presence or absence of such ancillary subunits that we show determine the reversibility of TMPH inhibition. The present studies show the potential utility of TMPH as an experimental tool that may allow us in some cases to remove the asterisk and in other cases to confirm its appropriateness.

The data obtained with the β4 10' mutant point to the importance of the neuronal β2 and β4 subunit TM2 sequence for defining the specificity of ganglionic blockers, and suggests at least some mechanistic similarities between TMPH inhibition and inhibition by mecamylamine (Webster et al., 1999). The apparent requirement for an alanine at that level of the pore suggests that a hydrophobic interaction may be the basis for long-lived inhibition by TMPH. Although it is a pharmacological convenience to have ganglionic blocking drugs that selectively inhibit neuronal receptors based on the β subunit sequence, presumably this crucial sequence element plays some important, albeit unknown, functional role in vivo. Moreover, just as this site makes a crucial distinction

Fig. 10. Amino acid sequence in the pore-forming transmembrane domain regulates TMPH inhibition. A, sequence of TM2 domains of the nAChR subunits in this study. The sequences are aligned and numbered as proposed by Miller (1989). The 10' site is highlighted. B, representative responses of an oocyte coexpressing α3 and a β4 mutant in which a threonine was substituted for the alanine at the 10' position. Shown are responses to 100 μM ACh alone, 100 μM ACh coapplied with 30 μM TMPH, and again 100 μM ACh alone. Responses were recorded from the same oocyte at 5-min intervals. During the coapplication, peak currents were reduced on average (n = 8) to 9.8 ± 1.0% of the control and the net charge during the coapplication was reduced to 7.7 ± 1.2% of the controls. C, progressive recovery of oocytes expressing α3 and the β4 10'T mutant. Data at t = 0 represents the average inhibition of peak currents during the coapplication of ACh and TMPH. The following points represent the responses to subsequent applications of ACh alone at 5-min intervals. Points represent the average (±S.E.M.) of at least four oocytes, and the data were normalized to the peak responses obtained 5 min before the ACh/TMPH coapplication. Data for the α3β4 wild type (from Fig. 3) are provided for comparison. Time constants were estimated from exponential functions fit to the data (not shown).
Fig. 11. Long-term inhibition of the slow components of mixed nicotinic receptor-mediated responses. A, responses of an oocyte injected with RNA coding for a7 as well as a3 and b4. The first response was evoked by the application of 1 mM ACh (closed bar). The second response was evoked by the application of 1 mM ACh plus 30 μM TMPH (open bar). The subsequent responses shown were to additional applications of 1 mM ACh alone at 5-min intervals. The expanded traces below show the two kinetic components in the ACh-evoked responses before (*) and after (**) the TMPH/ACh coapplication. In the traces on the left, an estimate of the slow component is shaded out, to illustrate the relative sparing of the fast a7-like component. B, responses of an oocyte expressing both a3b4 and a7-type receptors. Before the coapplication-evoked response 30 μM TMPH was preapplied for 30 s to ensure that the full concentration of TMPH was present during the early a7-mediated phase of the mixed receptor response. Even though transient inhibition was increased with this protocol compared with the simple preapplication protocol shown in A, there was good recovery of a fast component of the mixed response after only a 5-min wash. C, responses from a patch-clamped neuron in a rat brain slice of the septum. A double-barreled picospritzer pressure application system was used with one barrel containing 1 mM ACh and the other containing 1 mM ACh and 300 μM TMPH. Three initial responses to ACh alone were obtained at 30-s intervals and the averaged response is shown (*). Two applications separated by 30 s were then made from the barrel containing both TMPH and ACh, and the average of those responses is shown. After the ACh/TMPH applications, ACh alone was repeatedly applied at 30-s intervals. Shown are the averages of two traces obtained after either 60- and 90-s washout or 150- and 180-s washout (**). As shown in the overlay at the bottom, after washout the peak current amplitudes of the average response (gray) were essentially the same as the average response before the TMPH (black) application, but the late phase of the current is largely absent. Initial responses of the neuron to ACh (*) were well fit with two-exponential decay rates, with two time constants of 218 and 12 ms representing 21 and 79% of the area, respectively. After 2.5 to 3 min of recovery (**), the current decay was best fit with two time constants of 100 and 10 ms, representing 13 and 87% of the area, respectively.
between ganglionic and muscle-type receptors, it seems likely that it is of functional importance for those nAChR of the brain that incorporate the serine-containing ancillary subunits.

Based on its selectivity for long-lived inhibition of some nAChR subunit combinations and relative sparing of others, TMPH will be useful in sorting out the effects associated with complex receptor subtypes with in vitro preparations such as fresh brain slices (Fig. 11C). It may also show selectivity for blocking effects of nicotine in vivo (M. I. Damaj and R. L. Papke, manuscript submitted for publication). TMPH also may be of interest from the perspective of therapeutics because nicotinic antagonists have been proposed to be possible adjunct therapies for both Tourette’s syndrome (Sanberg et al., 1998) and smoking cessation (Rose et al., 1994). The prototypical antagonist mecamylamine was used for these initial studies and the characterization of selective antagonists such as TMPH may lead the way to the development of better therapies for these, and potentially other, neuropsychiatric indications based on a more limited profile of side effects. Although the sensitivity of α3β4 receptors to TMPH might suggest a high liability for peripheral side effects, this may not be the case because α5 is likely to be present in ganglionic receptors (Vernallis et al., 1993).

In conclusion, the results we report suggest that drug therapies for the inhibition of central nervous system nicotinic receptors may be developed with greater selectivity than previously appreciated. Although more selective antagonists such as methyllycaconitine are known, these generally work poorly with systemic administration. In addition to the potential therapeutic significance of TMPH, this drug may also prove to be a valuable tool to combine with selective agonists and knockout animals to further unravel the mystery of how neuronal nicotinic receptors play a role in brain function.
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